How to use this report:


- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- · Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the <u>Reference Guide</u>, <u>Frequently Asked Questions</u>, or <u>Data Privacy Rules</u> for more detailed information about the data in the report
- Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- · Provide feedback on the reports by taking our feedback survey
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports



(201)585-4660 RDANIELLO@FLBOE.COM

http://flboe.com/

https://twitter.com/RobertDaniello

Phone Number

Email Address

Website

Twitter

College and Career Readiness



LEWIS F. COLE MIDDLE SCHOOL (03-1550-100)Grades Offered: 07-08 2017-2018

Enrollment Trends by Grade

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
7	256	316	283
8	246	256	324
Total	504	578	612

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	45.8%	47.9%	47.5%
Male	54.2%	52.1%	52.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18.3%	18.7%	15.2%
Students with Disabilities	10.9%	11.8%	14.4%
English Learners	5.4%	5.7%	6.9%
Homeless Students		0.5%	0.7%
Students in Foster Care		0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students		0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students		0.0%	0.2%

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

Staff

- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group


This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.


Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	29.2%	31.1%	31.9%
Hispanic	18.1%	17.8%	18.0%
Black or African American	4.2%	4.0%	4.4%
Asian	47.6%	45.3%	44.4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
Two or More Races	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	43.6%
Korean	21.9%
Spanish	9.0%
Chinese	4.9%
Russian	4.9%
Other Languages	15.7%





Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35

99

79

60

40

21

1


Median Student Growth Percentile Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65


High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.





Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.




English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	584	97.9	71.2	77.0	56.7	71.2	73.3	Met Target
White	188	96.0	70.8	76.2	65.6	70.8	71.2	Met Target
Hispanic	106	96.4	45.3	59.9	42.5	45.3	52	Met Target†
Black or African American	25	100.0	44.0	62.7	37.3	44.0	51.2	Met Target†
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	260	99.6	84.6	85.7	82.3	84.6	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	52.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	63.4	*	**	**
Female	279	98.3	79.2	82.1	64.5	79.2		
Male	305	97.5	63.9	72.2	49.4	63.9		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	97.8	56.8	62.2	38.5	56.8	69.7	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	496	97.9	73.8	79.8	67.5	73.8		
Students with Disabilities	77	88.5	19.5	34.5	21.6	18.6	17.2	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	507	99.4	79.1	83.2	63.9	79.1		
English Learners	57	98.4	33.4	51.8	27.3	33.4	32.6	Met Target
Non-English Learners	527	97.8	75.3	80.5	59.4	75.3		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	27.7	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	26.3	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.4	N		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	30.1	*		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.




† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

2017-2018

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	273	775	775	760	8%	6%	14%	29%	44%	72%	63%
White	83	769	769	768	*	*	18%	30%	39%	69%	72%
Hispanic	54	751	751	746	*	*	20%	30%	22%	52%	49%
Black or African American	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	42%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	119	794	794	790	*	*	*	27%	61%	87%	87%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	Ν	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	57%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	767	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
Female	134	785	785	769	*	*	11%	29%	52%	81%	72%
Male	139	765	765	752	*	*	17%	28%	35%	63%	54%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	48	752	752	742	*	*	*	*	*	60%	44%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	225	780	780	771	*	*	*	*	*	75%	73%
Students with Disabilities	39	722	722	721	*	*	*	*	*	28%	22%
Students without Disabilities	234	784	784	768	*	*	*	*	*	79%	71%
English Learners	20	704	704	705	55%	*	*	*	*	15%	11%
Non-English Learners	253	780	780	762	4%	*	*	*	*	77%	64%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Students in Foster Care	Ν	Ν	N	725	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	25%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	64%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%



- **N** No Data is available to display
- + This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

Grades Offered: 07-08

2017-2018

REPORT


This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	320	769	769	759	9%	9%	11%	40%	30%	70%	60%
White	108	767	767	767	*	*	15%	52%	20%	72%	70%
Hispanic	52	738	738	744	*	23%	23%	*	*	37%	45%
Black or African American	12	738	738	739	*	*	0%	*	*	42%	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	145	783	783	789	7%	*	*	37%	46%	83%	86%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	66%
Female	151	777	777	768	*	*	11%	42%	35%	77%	69%
Male	169	761	761	751	*	*	11%	38%	26%	64%	52%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	43	747	747	740	*	23%	*	*	*	56%	42%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	277	772	772	769	*	7%	*	*	*	73%	71%
Students with Disabilities	33	711	711	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	19%
Students without Disabilities	287	775	775	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	68%
English Learners	17	706	706	703	59%	*	*	*	*	12%	*
Non-English Learners	303	772	772	761	7%	*	*	*	*	74%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	726	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	760	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	61%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	21%



English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



College and Career Readiness



LEWIS F. COLE MIDDLE SCHOOL (03-1550-100) Grades Offered: 07-08

2017-2018

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy


- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	588	97.9	63.7	63.6	45.0	63.7	66.3	Met Target †
White	188	96.0	56.9	59.0	54.1	56.9	61.1	Met Target†
Hispanic	106	96.4	30.2	36.4	29.2	30.2	36.2	Met Target†
Black or African American	25	100.0	28.0	32.1	23.4	28.0	35.4	Met Target†
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	263	99.6	85.2	80.4	77.0	85.2	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	42.5	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.0	*	**	**
Female	280	98.3	64.3	63.6	46.0	64.3		
Male	308	97.5	63.4	63.7	43.9	63.4		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	89	97.9	39.3	42.5	26.6	39.3	52.5	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	499	97.9	68.1	67.7	55.9	68.1		
Students with Disabilities	77	88.5	10.4	*	17.1	*	14.1	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	511	99.4	71.9	*	50.5	*		
English Learners	61	98.5	52.5	62.2	24.6	52.5	73.4	Not Met
Non-English Learners	527	97.8	65.1	63.8	46.9	65.1		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.3	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	Ν	N	N	16.2	Ν		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	45.8	Ν		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	23.7	*		

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.



Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 7

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	250	756	756	743	4%	11%	23%	41%	21%	62%	43%
White	81	755	755	750	*	*	32%	41%	16%	57%	54%
Hispanic	54	740	740	732	*	24%	30%	*	*	39%	27%
Black or African American	*	*	*	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	99	771	771	767	*	*	10%	51%	34%	85%	75%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	742	N	N	N	N	N	N	39%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Female	121	758	758	745	*	*	19%	45%	21%	66%	45%
Male	129	755	755	741	*	*	27%	38%	20%	58%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	48	743	743	730	*	*	23%	*	*	46%	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	202	760	760	751	*	*	23%	*	*	66%	55%
Students with Disabilities	38	720	720	717	*	*	29%	*	*	16%	12%
Students without Disabilities	212	763	763	748	*	*	22%	*	*	70%	50%
English Learners	23	741	741	716	*	*	*	*	*	43%	10%
Non-English Learners	227	758	758	744	*	*	*	*	*	64%	45%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%



Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 8

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	17	689	689	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
White	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%
Hispanic	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Black or African American	*	*	*	715	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	747	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	721	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Two or More Races	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Female	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	31%
Male	*	*	*	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	719	*	*	*	*	*	*	20%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	*	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students without Disabilities	*	*	*	735	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
English Learners	*	*	*	705	*	*	*	*	*	*	10%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	708	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	708	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	734	N	N	N	N	N	N	31%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	703	N	N	N	N	N	N	10%

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

2017-2018

REPORT

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Algebra I

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	295	767	761	746	*	*	25%	49%	16%	65%	46%
White	102	759	756	755	*	*	34%	*	*	57%	57%
Hispanic	45	736	732	730	*	*	47%	*	*	22%	27%
Black or African American	*	*	733	727	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	134	784	*	779	0%	*	*	58%	28%	86%	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Female	134	767	761	748	*	*	30%	46%	15%	61%	48%
Male	161	768	760	745	*	*	20%	51%	17%	68%	44%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	35	747	741	729	*	*	43%	*	*	37%	25%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	260	770	764	756	*	*	22%	*	*	69%	57%
Students with Disabilities	20	734	724	716	*	*	60%	*	*	10%	13%
Students without Disabilities	275	770	765	752	*	*	22%	*	*	69%	52%
English Learners	18	747	*	710	*	*	*	*	*	44%	*
Non-English Learners	277	768	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	66%	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	712	N	N	N	N	N	N	12%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%


2017-2018

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Test: Geometry


This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by end of course exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	36	792	746	735	0%	0%	0%	*	*	100%	30%
White	*	*	*	740	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%
Hispanic	*	*	*	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Black or African American	Ν	N	*	719	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	30	793	763	760	0%	0%	0%	*	*	100%	65%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	734	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	39%
Female	23	792	746	736	0%	0%	0%	*	*	100%	30%
Male	13	793	745	734	0%	0%	0%	*	*	100%	29%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	*	741	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Students with Disabilities	Ν	Ν	715	713	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Students without Disabilities	36	792	748	738	0%	0%	0%	*	*	100%	*
English Learners	N	N	*	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Non-English Learners	36	792	*	736	0%	0%	0%	*	*	100%	*
Homeless Students	N	Ν	*	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Students in Foster Care	Ν	Ν	N	711	N	N	N	N	N	N	*
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	24%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	709	N	N	N	N	N	N	11%



Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



† Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

College and Career Readiness



LEWIS F. COLE MIDDLE SCHOOL

(03-1550-100) Grades Offered: 07-08 2017-2018

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
7	Ν	Ν
8	*	*

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.


Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	55.6%	46.8%	Met Target

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	33	75.8%	24.2%
3-4	*	*	*
5 or more	N	N	N



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJSLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.



Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website.

Mathematics - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in Mathematics courses by grade and subject area. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple subject areas.

Grade	Algebra I	Geometry	Grade Level and Other Math
7	27	0	279
8	285	38	48
Total	312	38	327

World Languages - Course Participation


This table shows the number of students who were enrolled in World Languages courses by grade and language. Students are counted more than once if they were enrolled in courses across multiple languages.

Grade	Spanish	French	Italian	Latin	German	Chinese	Other Languages
7	226	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	272	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	498	0	0	0	0	0	0




Visual and Performing Arts – Course Participation

The first set of graphs below show the percentages of students who were enrolled in any Visual and Performing Arts classes during the school year. The graphs at the bottom of the page show the percentages of students enrolled in at least one course within each of the four arts disciplines during the school year.



Students enrolled in one or more classes by discipline:





Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

2017-2018

Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	57	9.3	10.0	Met
White	25	12.6	10.0	Not Met
Hispanic	14	12.6	10.0	Not Met
Black or African American	1	3.7	10.0	Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	16	5.8	10.0	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	15.1	10.0	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	21	22.8	10.0	Not Met
English Learners	7	16.7	10.0	Not Met

100% 80% Students 60% of Percentage 40% 40% 22% 20% 13% 13% 13% 0% 1-5 0 6-10 11-15 Over 15 Number of Absences

Days Absent


This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.




Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses


This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	9
Weapons	1
Vandalism	2
Substances	1
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	27
Total Unique Incidents	40
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	6.54

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	6
Weapons	1
Vandalism	1
Substances	1
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	3
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0



† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

2017-2018

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-ofschool suspension for all students during the school year.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	2	0	2
Religion	0	1	1
Ancestry	0	1	1
Gender	5	5	10
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	1	0	1
Other	19	22	41
No Identified Nature	17		17

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions
In-School Suspensions	*	*	· ·
Out-of-School Suspensions	24	3.9%	54
Any Suspension	25	4.1%	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

Student Disciplinary Removals

College and Career Readiness



LEWIS F. COLE MIDDLE SCHOOL (03-1550-100) Grades Offered: 07-08

2017-2018

Report Key:

- * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:30 AM
Typical End Time	2:40 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 10 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	4 Hrs 54 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	Ν

Device Ratios


This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	1.6:1

Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' <u>User Friendly Budgets</u>, or the NJDOE Finance <u>District Report Search</u> of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2016-2017)	\$456	\$14,528	\$14,984



- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

REPORT

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers:** All classroom teachers

Grades Offered: 07-08

2017-2018

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	49	117,464
Average years experience in public schools	11.0	12.0
Average years experience in district	9.2	10.7
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	71.4%	75.5%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)


This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	21	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	14.3	16.0
Average years experience in district	8.4	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	52.4%	76.2%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	12:1	13:1
Students to Administrators	306:1	188:1
Teachers to Administrators	25:1	14:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		657:1
Students to Nurses		657:1
Students to Counselors		358:1
Students to Child Study Team		263:1



Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	93.0%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	100.0%	86.2%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	95.3%


Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree







Key terms for staff data:


Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) **Teachers**: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators
Female	69.4%	50.0%
Male	30.6%	50.0%
White	75.5%	50.0%
Hispanic	12.2%	50.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	12.2%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Comprehensive Status

This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Requires Comp Support during School	the 2019-20	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
No		n/a	n/a

Targeted Status

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Student Group Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year		Eligible to exit status in January 2022
Any Student Groups	No	n/a	n/a
White	No		
Hispanic	No		
Black or African American	No		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No		
American Indian or Alaska Native	No		
Two or More Races	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No		
Students with Disabilities	No		
English Learners	No		




Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	64.69	15.0%
Mathematics Proficiency	64.87	15.0%
English Language Arts Growth	3.91	20.0%
Mathematics Growth	21.60	20.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency	54.26	20.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	26.68	10.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	38.06	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile Rank of Summative Score	30.51	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5 th percentile	No	n/a

† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

Grades Offered: 07-08

2017-2018

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student groups performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut- off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Target†	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Target	Met	No
White	27.25	14.08	No	Met Target†	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Hispanic	23.06	14.08	No	Met Target†	Met Target†	Not Met	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
Black or African American	46.45	14.08	No	Met Target†	Met Target†	Not Met	**	n/a	Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	29.65	14.08	No	Met Goal	Met Goal	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	37.66	14.08	No	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	29.39	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
English Learners	48.55	14.08	No	Met Target	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	Not Met	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

REPORT

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
Ş	NJ SCHOO PERFORM REPORT		-	COLE MIDDLE SCHOOL (03-1550-100) des Offered: 07-08 2017-2018	** Accountability cN No Data is avail	alculations able to disp	der to protect student p require 20 or more stu blay fic note,see note belo	udents
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important information narrative section, please contact yo		nd service	es that are offered	l in their
Ţ	Highligh	nts:	issued a ChromWe are in the prOur Student Am	es all of our instruction with a 1:1 G ebook in order to support their studi ocess of preparing for the opening o bassador program promotes kindne student leaders to promote anti-bu	es and academic growth. of our Grades 5 and 6 additio ess and acts as peer support	n in Sept to help p	tember 2019.	
		, Recognition plishments:	Award from Columbia Values award at the 20 the High Note Music For String Orchestra, Instru	logy music lab to advance the musi Scholastic Press for the 2017-2018 018 First Lego League Challenge. 4 estival in Allentown, PA. This is the umental Band, and Concert Choir.	Intermedia publication. 3. Ou. . Our three music ensembles	r Robotic all receiv	cs Team earned the ved "Superior" aw	he Core vards at

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	College and Career Readiness	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		LEWIS F. COLE MIDDLE SCHOOL (03-1550-100) Grades Offered: 07-08 2017-2018		 Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note, see note below table 			
School Narrative								
This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.								
(R.H.)	Clubs a	nd Activities:	boys middle school bas year that our Robotics	cular clubs and activities to develop sketball program. We are excited at Team competed in the First Lego Lo g our students with goal-setting, eng	bout the spirit this will bring to eague challenge. This comes	our scho	ol. This is also th	e first

