



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

How to use this report:

- **Learn more** about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- **Start conversations** with school community members and ask questions
- **Engage** with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Navigating through the reports:

- Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

Other Resources:

- Review the [Summary Report](#) or the [district-level report](#) for this school
- Read the [Reference Guide](#), [Frequently Asked Questions](#), or [Data Privacy Rules](#) for more detailed information about the data in the report
- [Download the data](#) in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our [feedback survey](#)
- Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Contact Info

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Type	Contact Information
County	BERGEN
District	Fort Lee School District
Principal Name	MS. GIACOMELLI
Address	250 HOYM STREET FORT LEE, NJ 07024
Phone Number	(201)585-4620
Email Address	RGIACOMELLI@FLBOE.COM
Website	http://flboe.com/
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com/Fort-Lee-Elementary-School-1-107326529384980/
Twitter	https://twitter.com/School1Knights



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
KG	102	96	93
1	84	114	108
2	96	93	110
3	106	98	87
4	83	106	105
5	95	88	111
6	90	95	86
Total	656	690	700

Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	49.1%	48.7%	50.0%
Male	50.9%	51.3%	50.0%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	15.5%	15.9%	13.4%
Students with Disabilities	11.3%	12.8%	14.0%
English Learners	14.6%	16.2%	17.9%
Homeless Students		0.0%	0.4%
Students in Foster Care		0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students		0.1%	0.1%
Migrant Students		0.0%	0.0%

Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	27.4%	25.4%	24.7%
Hispanic	17.5%	17.5%	17.1%
Black or African American	2.4%	2.6%	2.9%
Asian	50.6%	53.3%	53.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	1.4%	1.0%	1.6%

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG

This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	102	96	93

Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	47.0%
Korean	24.4%
Spanish	7.1%
Japanese	5.0%
Chinese	4.9%
Other Languages	11.6%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student’s SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels: **Low Growth: Less than 35** **Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65** **High Growth: Greater than 65**

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.

Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	82	57	50	Exceeds Standard	74	56	50	Exceeds Standard
White	76.5	53	50	Exceeds Standard	75	56	51	Exceeds Standard
Hispanic	78	40	49	Exceeds Standard	76	40	48	Exceeds Standard
Black or African American	*	39.5	44	**	*	49.5	44	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	82	66	61	Exceeds Standard	74	62	61	Exceeds Standard
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	*	52	**	N	N	53	**
Two or More Races	*	69	49	**	*	55	51	**
Economically Disadvantaged	88	43	48	Exceeds Standard	76	52	47	Exceeds Standard
Students with Disabilities	61.5	38	41	Exceeds Standard	60	44.5	43	Exceeds Standard
English Learners	87	71	54	Exceeds Standard	81	67	51	Exceeds Standard



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student’s SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35


Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65

If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short [video about Student Growth Percentiles](#) that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.



Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.





SCHOOL NO. 1

(03-1550-060)

Grades Offered: KG-06

2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	365	98.2	86.0	77.0	56.7	86.0	79.9	Met Goal
White	102	94.5	83.3	76.2	65.6	82.9	76	Met Goal
Hispanic	66	100.0	77.2	59.9	42.5	77.2	70.1	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	62.7	37.3	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	184	100.0	90.8	85.7	82.3	90.8	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	*	52.7	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	63.4	*	**	**
Female	191	99.5	90.1	82.1	64.5	90.1		
Male	174	96.7	81.7	72.2	49.4	81.7		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	50	100.0	74.0	62.2	38.5	74.0	70.5	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	315	97.9	87.9	79.8	67.5	87.9		
Students with Disabilities	57	90.5	59.7	34.5	21.6	56.7	58.3	Met Target†
Students without Disabilities	308	99.7	90.9	83.2	63.9	90.9		
English Learners	61	100.0	68.9	51.8	27.3	68.9	42.7	Met Target
Non-English Learners	304	97.8	89.5	80.5	59.4	89.5		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	27.7	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	26.3	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	57.4	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	*	30.1	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	78	776	770	750	*	*	14%	58%	19%	77%	52%
White	*	*	770	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Hispanic	*	*	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	40	785	780	777	*	0%	*	58%	28%	85%	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	743	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	58%
Female	40	779	774	756	*	*	*	*	*	75%	57%
Male	38	773	765	744	*	*	*	*	*	79%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	762	*	733	*	*	*	*	*	57%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	64	779	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	81%	64%
Students with Disabilities	14	755	738	719	*	*	*	*	*	57%	24%
Students without Disabilities	64	781	774	756	*	*	*	*	*	81%	57%
English Learners	*	*	740	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Non-English Learners	*	*	772	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	720	N	N	N	N	N	N	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	741	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	100	786	780	756	*	*	*	36%	50%	86%	58%
White	22	776	*	764	0%	*	*	*	*	86%	68%
Hispanic	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%
Black or African American	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	58	794	787	782	*	*	*	22%	66%	88%	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	758	N	N	N	N	N	N	60%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	763	N	N	N	N	N	N	63%
Female	53	791	785	762	*	*	*	38%	55%	92%	63%
Male	47	780	774	751	*	*	*	34%	45%	79%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	17	762	760	740	*	*	*	*	*	76%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	83	791	784	767	*	*	*	*	*	88%	70%
Students with Disabilities	17	759	742	726	*	*	*	*	*	76%	25%
Students without Disabilities	83	791	788	762	*	*	*	*	*	88%	64%
English Learners	13	736	735	720	*	*	*	*	*	46%	17%
Non-English Learners	87	793	783	759	*	*	*	*	*	92%	61%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	28%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	57%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	108	789	776	755	*	*	*	45%	41%	86%	58%
White	29	782	772	763	*	*	*	*	*	86%	68%
Hispanic	17	769	*	743	*	*	*	*	*	65%	43%
Black or African American	*	*	*	738	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	57	797	785	780	*	*	*	39%	53%	91%	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	60	793	780	762	*	*	*	43%	47%	90%	66%
Male	48	783	773	749	*	*	*	48%	33%	81%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	762	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	778	766	*	*	*	*	*	*	71%
Students with Disabilities	16	756	743	724	*	*	*	*	*	50%	22%
Students without Disabilities	92	794	780	762	*	*	*	*	*	92%	65%
English Learners	*	*	723	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	779	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	N	N	59%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	87	795	781	754	*	*	*	34%	59%	93%	56%
White	36	790	*	761	*	*	*	33%	56%	89%	66%
Hispanic	17	784	770	742	0%	0%	*	*	*	94%	42%
Black or African American	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	31	805	792	779	0%	0%	*	*	*	97%	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	751	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	761	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Female	44	804	788	761	*	*	*	*	*	98%	64%
Male	43	786	774	748	*	*	*	*	*	88%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	13	780	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	100%	37%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	74	797	*	764	*	*	*	*	*	92%	68%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	730	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	787	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
English Learners	*	*	*	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	755	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	36%




SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.





SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	376	98.0	82.4	63.6	45.0	82.4	80	Met Goal
White	103	93.7	71.8	59.0	54.1	70.8	76.8	Met Target†
Hispanic	67	100.0	76.1	36.4	29.2	76.1	62.3	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	32.1	23.4	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	191	99.5	90.0	80.4	77.0	90.0	80	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	*	42.5	N	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.0	*	**	**
Female	195	99.0	85.7	63.6	46.0	85.7		
Male	181	96.9	79.0	63.7	43.9	79.0		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	50	100.0	70.0	42.5	26.6	70.0	66.8	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	326	97.7	84.3	67.7	55.9	84.3		
Students with Disabilities	58	92.1	51.7	*	17.1	50.1	62.2	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	318	99.1	88.1	*	50.5	88.1		
English Learners	73	100.0	78.1	62.2	24.6	78.1	64.9	Met Target
Non-English Learners	303	97.5	83.5	63.8	46.9	83.5		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	17.3	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	N	16.2	N		
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	N	45.8	N		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	*	23.7	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	85	775	768	752	*	*	14%	47%	33%	80%	53%
White	*	*	765	760	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
Hispanic	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	38%
Black or African American	*	*	*	734	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	45	779	778	780	*	0%	*	49%	38%	87%	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	757	N	N	N	N	N	N	59%
Female	45	773	766	752	*	*	*	47%	33%	80%	53%
Male	40	776	769	751	*	*	*	48%	33%	80%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	776	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	71%	35%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	71	775	*	762	*	*	*	*	*	82%	66%
Students with Disabilities	14	757	742	730	*	*	*	*	*	64%	29%
Students without Disabilities	71	778	771	756	*	*	*	*	*	83%	57%
English Learners	12	753	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	58%	23%
Non-English Learners	73	778	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	84%	56%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	753	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	737	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	106	769	760	748	*	*	14%	58%	20%	78%	49%
White	22	760	*	755	0%	*	*	*	*	64%	60%
Hispanic	*	*	*	737	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Black or African American	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	64	776	769	774	0%	*	*	59%	25%	84%	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%
Two or More Races	N	N	*	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	55%
Female	53	768	759	748	*	*	*	*	*	79%	50%
Male	53	770	760	748	*	*	*	*	*	77%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	18	748	741	733	*	*	*	61%	0%	61%	30%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	88	774	765	758	*	*	*	58%	24%	82%	62%
Students with Disabilities	17	756	736	725	*	*	*	*	*	65%	22%
Students without Disabilities	89	772	765	753	*	*	*	*	*	81%	55%
English Learners	20	751	748	722	*	*	*	*	*	50%	16%
Non-English Learners	86	773	762	750	*	*	*	*	*	85%	52%
Homeless Students	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	748	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	111	774	765	748	*	*	19%	47%	30%	77%	49%
White	28	770	762	756	0%	*	*	*	*	71%	60%
Hispanic	17	750	*	736	*	*	*	*	*	47%	32%
Black or African American	*	*	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	59	782	779	777	0%	*	*	51%	36%	86%	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	60	772	764	749	*	*	*	48%	30%	78%	50%
Male	51	775	766	747	*	*	*	45%	29%	75%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	747	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	29%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	767	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	62%
Students with Disabilities	17	748	733	726	*	*	*	*	*	41%	20%
Students without Disabilities	94	778	769	752	*	*	*	*	*	83%	55%
English Learners	10	762	754	718	*	*	*	*	*	70%	13%
Non-English Learners	101	775	766	750	*	*	*	*	*	77%	51%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	749	N	N	N	N	N	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%



SCHOOL NO. 1
 (03-1550-060)
 Grades Offered: KG-06
 2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Note: Students that took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests are not included in grade level results.

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	91	780	767	744	*	*	*	48%	41%	89%	44%
White	37	774	*	751	0%	*	*	43%	38%	81%	54%
Hispanic	18	769	756	731	*	0%	*	*	*	89%	27%
Black or African American	*	*	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	33	793	778	771	0%	0%	*	*	*	97%	78%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	744	N	N	N	N	N	N	48%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Female	47	783	769	745	*	*	*	*	*	98%	45%
Male	44	778	766	742	*	*	*	*	*	80%	42%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	14	763	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	79%	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	77	784	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	91%	56%
Students with Disabilities	*	*	721	717	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students without Disabilities	*	*	773	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
English Learners	*	*	761	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	768	745	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	N	718	N	N	N	N	N	N	13%
Military-Connected Students	N	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	45%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	717	N	N	N	N	N	N	18%




SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



† Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	N	N
4	*	*
5	N	N
6	N	N

English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	85.5%	46.8%	Exceeds

† Target was met within one standard deviation

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	102	68.6%	31.4%
3-4	14	42.9%	57.1%
5 or more	*	*	*



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJSLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.



SCHOOL NO. 1

(03-1550-060)

Grades Offered: KG-06

2017-2018

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school’s culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.


Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average (“Not Met”) or less than or equal to the state average (“Met”).

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	26	3.7	8.9	Met
White	6	3.5	8.9	Met
Hispanic	10	8.3	8.9	Met
Black or African American	2	10.0	8.9	Not Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	8	2.1	8.9	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	N
Two or More Races	0	0	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	7	7.5	8.9	Met
Students with Disabilities	8	8.0	8.9	Met
English Learners	4	3.3	8.9	Met

Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.






SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school’s culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





SCHOOL NO. 1
 (03-1550-060)
 Grades Offered: KG-06
 2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year's report to previous years' data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	7
Total Unique Incidents	7
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.00

Police Notifications

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	1
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	2



SCHOOL NO. 1

(03-1550-060)

Grades Offered: KG-06

2017-2018

Report Key:

* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

The New Jersey Department of Education migrated to a new reporting system, the Student Safety Data System (SSDS), which includes improved definitions, new reporting fields, and updated guidance for reporting incidents. As such, comparing this year’s report to previous years’ data can lead to inaccurate conclusions in many reporting categories. As such, the 2017-18 report should be considered a baseline year.

Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	1	1
Religion	0	1	1
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	1	1	2
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	4		4

Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	*	*
Out-of-School Suspensions	*	*
Any Suspension	*	*
Removal to other education program	*	*
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions
11



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:40 AM
Typical End Time	3:00 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 20 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs 20 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	N

Device Ratios

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	4.3:1

Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district’s schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts’ [User Friendly Budgets](#), or the NJDOE Finance [District Report Search](#) of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor’s Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total
District Total (2016-2017)	\$456	\$14,528	\$14,984



SCHOOL NO. 1
 (03-1550-060)
 Grades Offered: KG-06
 2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	53	117,464
Average years experience in public schools	14.1	12.0
Average years experience in district	13.0	10.7
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	92.5%	75.5%

Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	21	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	14.3	16.0
Average years experience in district	8.4	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	52.4%	76.2%

Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	13:1	13:1
Students to Administrators	64:1	188:1
Teachers to Administrators	5:1	14:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		657:1
Students to Nurses		657:1
Students to Counselors		358:1
Students to Child Study Team		263:1



SCHOOL NO. 1
 (03-1550-060)
 Grades Offered: KG-06
 2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
 N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Key terms for staff data:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.


Bachelor’s Degree



Master’s Degree



Doctoral Degree



Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	93.0%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	100.0%	86.2%

Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	95.1%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
 * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
 ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
 † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Teachers and Administrators– Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators
Female	86.8%	72.7%
Male	13.2%	27.3%
White	73.6%	72.7%
Hispanic	13.2%	9.1%
Black or African American	0.0%	18.2%
Asian	13.2%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these [accountability resources](#).

Comprehensive Status

This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Requires Comprehensive Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
No	n/a	n/a

Targeted Status

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022
Any Student Groups	No	n/a	n/a
White	No		
Hispanic	No		
Black or African American	No		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No		
American Indian or Alaska Native	No		
Two or More Races	No		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No		
Students with Disabilities	No		
English Learners	No		



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey’s accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these [accountability resources](#).

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	96.27	15.0%
Mathematics Proficiency	97.87	15.0%
English Language Arts Growth	99.76	20.0%
Mathematics Growth	98.10	20.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency	97.66	20.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	80.16	10.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	96.24	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile Rank of Summative Score	99.76	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Rating is less than or equal to 5 th percentile	No	n/a

† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student groups performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these [accountability resources](#).

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut-off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Target	Met	No
White	91.57	14.08	No	Met Goal	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	92.56	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	Not Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	83.08	14.08	No	Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	96.34	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Students with Disabilities	91.21	14.08	No	Met Target†	Not Met	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	97.26	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	Met	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).





SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

<div>  <div>Highlights:</div> </div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> School 1 enjoys an outstanding reputation for the quality of our instructional programs. Data analysis drives instruction and all students' progress is tracked to ensure that individual needs are being met. Our constructivist approach to education best addresses the needs of our diverse student population.
<div>  <div>Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments:</div> </div>	<p>Our students have been acknowledged through the Presidential Physical Fitness Challenges, our diversity is honored through bilingual and world language classes, and the varied needs of students are addressed through before, during and after school tutoring, as well as a well-developed Gifted and Talented program. NJ Classroom Close-Up also produced a televised program, here at School 1, on our teachers using listening to Opera as a gateway to learning for our special population.</p>



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.



Clubs and Activities:

The concern for the development of the whole student is shown in our programs such as SADD (students against destructive decisions), the Buddy program, Safety Patrol, Peer Mediators and Environmental club. Academic and social development is recognized and developed through multiple means and curriculum areas. Critical to our success is our excellent relationship with the community.



SCHOOL NO. 1
(03-1550-060)
Grades Offered: KG-06
2017-2018

Report Key:
* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
N No Data is available to display
† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.



Other Information:

In addition to our District's highlights, School 1 has integrated the "FISH" philosophy. We choose to come to school with an attitude to make every day fun, filled with learning, positive energy and support for those around us. We maintain open communication with parents, teachers and administration, offering the best possible social, emotional and academic environment. School 1 practices "Thoughtful Thursdays" where students are given 5-10 minutes of complete quiet for a mindfulness activity. K-6 students are exposed to technology through chromebook activities every day. School 1 is a place where teachers are respected and our students come first.