The 2016-17 School Performance Reports are designed to share school and district information collected by the New Jersey Department of Education directly with parents, educators, and communities. The Department believes that the greatest potential to improve school performance and student outcomes is through parents, educators, and community members working together. These reports seek to make that process easier by providing information that can help people to:

- Learn more: The School Performance Reports contain a wealth of data, going far beyond a school's test scores to include information about the staff working in a school, student discipline rates, and the sports and clubs offered.
- Ask questions: These reports can help start a conversation, but they cannot answer all the questions you might have about schools in your community. Use the contact information provided to engage with faculty and administrators at your schools to ask about the data you see in these reports.
- Get involved: Schools need help from parents and communities to help students succeed. Contact your school to find out about school board meetings or parent and community groups.


## Navigating through the reports:

- Download this report to a PDF by clicking the Export icon at the top of the page.
- Search for specific text or words in these reports by using the search box at the top of the page.
- The menu bar at the top of the page or the table of contents at the left of the screen can be used to move between sections of the report.
- The arrow buttons at the top left of the screen can be used to move between pages of the report.


## Other Resources:

- Review the Parent Summary Report or the district-level report for this school.
- For more detailed information about the data in these reports, where it comes from, and how to use it: Reference Guide or Interpretive Video.
- Download the data used in these reports.
- Want to give us feedback? Take our feedback survey: surveylink
- Questions about the reports? Contact us at reportcard@doe.nj.gov


## Footnotes

1. An "*" indicates that data is not displayed to protect student privacy; " N " indicates no data is available to display.
2. ** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

Note: Additional footnotes may exist within the reports specific to certain data tables. In those instances, the footnotes will be displayed below the applicable table.

School No. 1
2016-2017

## Enrollment Trends by Grade

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Ungraded students are students who are "on roll" but are educated in ungraded classrooms.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KG | 96 | 102 | 96 |
| 1 | 103 | 84 | 114 |
| 2 | 114 | 96 | 93 |
| 3 | 86 | 106 | 98 |
| 4 | 99 | 83 | 106 |
| 5 | 91 | 95 | 88 |
| 6 | 74 | 90 | 95 |
| Ungraded | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 664 | 656 | 690 |

## PreK and K - Full Day and Half Day

This table shows the full day and half day enrollment for Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) students in this school.

| Grade | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KG - Half Day | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KG - Full Day | 93 | 102 | 96 |

## Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. Data for some student groups was not available before 2016-17.

| Student Group | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Male | $52 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged Students | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $15 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| English Learners | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Homeless Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Students in Foster Care |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Military-Connected Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |
| Migrant Students |  |  | $0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group.

| Racial and Ethnic Group | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Asian | $53.3 \%$ |
| White | $25.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $17.5 \%$ |
| Black or African American | $2.6 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | $0.1 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $1.0 \%$ |

## Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least $1 \%$ of students are shown. All other students are included in Other Languages.

| Home Language | $\%$ of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| English | $48.4 \%$ |
| Korean | $24.2 \%$ |
| Spanish | $7.2 \%$ |
| Chinese | $4.6 \%$ |
| Japanese | $4.6 \%$ |
| Other | $10.5 \%$ |
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## English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the school and each studen group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, where levels 4 and 5 (met or exceeded expectations) indicate students have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level/course and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the accountability proficiency rate, the annual target, and whether the school or student group met its annual target as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student groups with the annual target section grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 <br> Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 352 | 98.7 | 83.80 | 76.50 | 54.90 | 83.8 | 79.9 | Met Goal |
| White | 100 | 95.5 | 77.00 | 74.80 | 63.90 | 77 | 75.7 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 64 | 100.0 | 70.30 | 59.50 | 39.80 | 70.3 | 69.6 | Met Target |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 35.20 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 173 | 100.0 | 91.90 | 85.30 | 80.70 | 91.9 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | 53.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 54.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 171 | 99.5 | 88.90 | 81.00 | 62.20 | 88.9 |  |  |
| Male | 181 | 97.9 | 79.00 | 72.40 | 48.10 | 79 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 58 | 100.0 | 68.90 | 64.00 | 36.20 | 68.9 | 69.9 | Met Targett |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 294 | 98.4 | 86.70 | 79.30 | 65.80 | 86.7 |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 57 | 93.8 | 50.90 | 32.40 | 20.50 | 50 | 57.1 | Met Targett |
| Students without Disabilities | 295 | 99.7 | 90.20 | 83.40 | 61.90 | 90.2 |  |  |
| English Learners | 45 | 100.0 | 68.90 | 49.50 | 25.20 | 68.9 | 40.7 | Met Target |
| Non-English Learners | 307 | 98.5 | 86.00 | 79.60 | 57.40 | 86 |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 50.00 | 26.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 24.80 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 53.50 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | * | 23.00 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

School No. 1
2016-2017

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 87 | 783 | 768 | 749 | * | * | * | 56\% | 25\% | 82\% | 50\% |
| White | 20 | 762 | 761 | 759 | * | * | * | 65\% | * | 70\% | 61\% |
| Hispanic | 14 | 756 | 755 | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | 57\% | 35\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 51 | 798 | 775 | 775 | * | * | * | 57\% | 35\% | 92\% | 76\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 747 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 46\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Female | 49 | 786 | 773 | 754 | * | * | * | 57\% | * | 86\% | 55\% |
| Male | 38 | 779 | 763 | 745 | * | * | * | 55\% | * | 76\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 17 | 750 | 744 | 731 | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% | 31\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 70 | 791 | 773 | 762 | * | * | * | * | * | 89\% | 63\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 748 | * | 720 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 46\% | 24\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 74 | 789 | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | 30\% | 88\% | 55\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 709 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 11\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 752 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 720 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 21\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 26\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 49\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 734 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 29\% |

School No. 1
2016-2017

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 103 | 783 | 776 | 753 | * | * | * | 37\% | 48\% | 85\% | 56\% |
| White | 28 | 774 | 772 | 762 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 82\% | 67\% |
| Hispanic | 14 | 779 | 768 | 740 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 71\% | 40\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 36\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 56 | 790 | 782 | 777 | 0\% | * | * | 34\% | 55\% | 89\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Female | 50 | 790 | 779 | 758 | * | * | * | 34\% | 54\% | 88\% | 61\% |
| Male | 53 | 777 | 773 | 749 | * | * | * | 40\% | 42\% | 81\% | 51\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 10 | 776 | * | 737 | * | * | * | * | * | 80\% | 36\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 93 | 784 | * | 764 | * | * | * | * | * | 85\% | 69\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 20 | 754 | * | 725 | * | * | * | * | * | 55\% | 25\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 83 | 791 | * | 759 | * | * | * | * | * | 92\% | 62\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 711 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 10\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 58\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 728 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 31\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 755 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 60\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

School No. 1
2016-2017

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid <br> Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met <br> Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of Testers } \\ & \text { Met/ } \\ & \text { Exceeded } \\ & \text { Expectations } \end{aligned}$ | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 86 | 776 | 772 | 756 | * | 12\% | * | 47\% | 30\% | 77\% | 59\% |
| White | 32 | 765 | 766 | 763 | * | * | * | 38\% | * | 63\% | 69\% |
| Hispanic | 18 | 768 | 763 | 743 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 72\% | 44\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 39\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 32 | 785 | 780 | 779 | * | * | * | 56\% | 34\% | 91\% | 84\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | 756 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 56\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Female | 42 | 782 | 779 | 761 | * | * | * | 43\% | * | 83\% | 66\% |
| Male | 44 | 769 | 765 | 750 | * | * | * | 50\% | * | 71\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 14 | 746 | * | 740 | * | * | * | * | * | 50\% | 40\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 72 | 781 | * | 765 | * | * | * | * | * | 82\% | 71\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 722 | 730 | 725 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 23\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 73 | 785 | 778 | 762 | * | * | * | * | 36\% | 86\% | 66\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 733 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 30\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 757 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 62\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 731 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 36\% |

School No. 1
2016-2017

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6
This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows by school and student group the number of valid test scores and the mean scale score for the school, district, and state. It also shows the percentage of students at each performance level and the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: <br> Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: <br> Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 95 | 782 | 775 | 752 | * | 0\% | * | 51\% | 41\% | 92\% | 54\% |
| White | 27 | 777 | 769 | 758 | 0\% | 0\% | * | 56\% | * | 89\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | 20 | 770 | 757 | 740 | * | 0\% | * | 55\% | * | 80\% | 38\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 736 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 44 | 793 | 790 | 776 | * | * | * | 41\% | 57\% | 98\% | 81\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 52\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 753 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 56\% |
| Female | 45 | 788 | 779 | 758 | * | * | * | 51\% | 44\% | 96\% | 61\% |
| Male | 50 | 777 | 771 | 746 | * | * | * | 50\% | 38\% | 88\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 18 | 776 | * | 737 | * | 0\% | * | 72\% | * | 94\% | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 77 | 784 | * | 761 | * | 0\% | * | 46\% | * | 91\% | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12 | 758 | 737 | 722 | * | * | * | * | * | 75\% | 17\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 83 | 786 | 782 | 758 | * | * | * | * | * | 94\% | 61\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 729 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 23\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 55\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 20\% |

## 2016-2017

Grade Span KG-06

English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends
This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.
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## Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance





 these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | \% of students Taking Test | School: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | District: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | State: \% of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations | Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability | 2016-17 Annual Target | Met 2016-17 Annual Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 366 | 98.8 | 79.80 | 64.30 | 43.50 | 79.8 | 80 | Met Targett |
| White | 101 | 95.7 | 73.30 | 60.40 | 52.40 | 73.3 | 76.7 | Met Targett |
| Hispanic | 67 | 100.0 | 58.20 | 39.80 | 27.60 | 58.2 | 61.3 | Met Targett |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | * | 21.70 | * | ** | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 183 | 100.0 | 91.80 | 79.10 | 75.60 | 91.8 | 80 | Met Goal |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | 42.50 | * | ** | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 44.90 | * | ** | ** |
| Female | 179 | 99.5 | 79.90 | 62.80 | 44.10 | 79.9 |  |  |
| Male | 187 | 98.0 | 79.70 | 65.90 | 42.90 | 79.7 |  |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 58 | 100.0 | 65.50 | 47.30 | 25.10 | 65.5 | 66 | Met Targett |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 308 | 98.5 | 82.40 | 68.20 | 54.30 | 82.4 |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 57 | 93.8 | 49.20 | * | 16.50 | 48.3 | 61.2 | Not Met |
| Students without Disabilities | 309 | 99.7 | 85.50 | * | 48.80 | 85.5 |  |  |
| English Learners | 59 | 100.0 | 69.50 | 54.90 | 23.30 | 69.5 | 64 | Met Target |
| Non-English Learners | 307 | 98.5 | 81.80 | 65.60 | 45.20 | 81.8 |  |  |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 28.60 | 16.40 | N |  |  |
| Students In Foster Care | N | N | N | N | 15.10 | N |  |  |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | N | 39.90 | N |  |  |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | * | 18.20 | N |  |  |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students
$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state.

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: <br> Exceeded <br> Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 101 | 774 | 766 | 751 | * | * | 11\% | 44\% | 36\% | 79\% | 53\% |
| White | 21 | 757 | 758 | 759 | * | * | * | 52\% | * | 67\% | 63\% |
| Hispanic | 15 | 744 | * | 738 | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% | 37\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 733 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 32\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 63 | 787 | 776 | 779 | 0\% | * | * | 41\% | 49\% | 91\% | 82\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 751 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 53\% |
| Female | 55 | 770 | 765 | 751 | * | * | * | 46\% | 31\% | 76\% | 52\% |
| Male | 46 | 779 | 768 | 751 | * | * | * | 41\% | 41\% | 83\% | 53\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 17 | 752 | 747 | 736 | * | * | * | * | * | 53\% | 34\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 84 | 779 | 770 | 761 | * | * | * | * | * | 85\% | 65\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 749 | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | 54\% | 29\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 88 | 778 | * | 755 | * | * | * | * | * | 83\% | 57\% |
| English Learners | 21 | 762 | 748 | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | 57\% | 21\% |
| Non-English Learners | 80 | 777 | 769 | 753 | * | * | * | * | * | 85\% | 55\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 727 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 27\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 750 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 51\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 726 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 35\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5 : Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 108 | 774 | 766 | 747 | * | * | 11\% | 62\% | 20\% | 82\% | 47\% |
| White | 29 | 769 | 760 | 755 | 0\% | * | * | 52\% | * | 76\% | 59\% |
| Hispanic | 15 | 758 | 748 | 734 | * | * | * | * | * | 67\% | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 25\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 59 | 781 | 776 | 774 | 0\% | * | * | 68\% | 20\% | 88\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Female | 53 | 773 | 763 | 747 | * | * | * | 59\% | * | 83\% | 47\% |
| Male | 55 | 774 | 768 | 747 | * | * | * | 66\% | * | 82\% | 48\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 10 | 770 | * | 732 | * | * | * | * | * | 80\% | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 98 | 774 | * | 757 | * | * | * | * | * | 83\% | 61\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 20 | 759 | * | 724 | * | * | * | 55\% | * | 65\% | 22\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 88 | 777 | * | 751 | * | * | * | 64\% | * | 86\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | 10 | 742 | 758 | 716 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 50\% | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | 98 | 777 | 766 | 749 | * | * | * | * | 22\% | 86\% | 49\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 723 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 722 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 749 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 50\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 713 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 22\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: Approached Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5 : Exceeded Expectations | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of Testers } \\ \text { Met/ } \\ \text { Exceeded } \\ \text { Expectations } \end{gathered}$ | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 88 | 769 | 765 | 747 | * | * | 18\% | 52\% | 21\% | 73\% | 46\% |
| White | 33 | 762 | 758 | 754 | * | * | * | 46\% | * | 64\% | 57\% |
| Hispanic | 18 | 758 | 750 | 735 | 0\% | * | * | * | * | 56\% | 30\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 729 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 22\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 33 | 781 | 778 | 774 | 0\% | * | * | 64\% | * | 91\% | 79\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 51\% |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | 747 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 47\% |
| Female | 43 | 770 | 766 | 747 | * | * | * | 54\% | * | 72\% | 47\% |
| Male | 45 | 768 | 765 | 746 | * | * | * | 51\% | * | 73\% | 46\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 14 | 747 | 752 | 732 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 57\% | 27\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 74 | 773 | 768 | 756 | * | * | * | * | 24\% | 76\% | 59\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 726 | 729 | 725 | * | * | * | * | 0\% | 23\% | 19\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 75 | 776 | 770 | 751 | * | * | * | * | 24\% | 81\% | 52\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 717 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 12\% |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 748 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 48\% |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 724 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 721 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 748 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 48\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 716 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 18\% |

## Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 6**


 percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5) for the school and the state

| Student Group | Valid Scores | Mean Scale Score | District Mean Scale Score | State Mean Scale Score | \% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations | \% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations | \% Level 3: <br> Approached <br> Expectations | \% Level 4: Met Expectations | \% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations | \% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations | State \% of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 99 | 775 | 765 | 743 | * | * | 11\% | 46\% | 35\% | 81\% | 44\% |
| White | 28 | 768 | 760 | 751 | 0\% | * | * | 50\% | * | 71\% | 54\% |
| Hispanic | 21 | 756 | * | 731 | * | * | * | 48\% | * | 67\% | 27\% |
| Black or African American | * | * | * | 724 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 20\% |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 46 | 791 | 782 | 771 | * | * | * | 41\% | 54\% | 96\% | 77\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N | 744 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 42\% |
| Two or More Races | N | N | N | 745 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 46\% |
| Female | 47 | 774 | 766 | 745 | * | * | * | 49\% | 34\% | 83\% | 45\% |
| Male | 52 | 775 | 763 | 742 | * | * | * | 42\% | 37\% | 79\% | 43\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 19 | 762 | * | 728 | * | * | * | 53\% | * | 74\% | 24\% |
| Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students | 80 | 778 | * | 752 | * | * | * | 44\% | * | 83\% | 56\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 12 | 751 | 722 | 717 | * | * | * | * | * | 50\% | 13\% |
| Students without Disabilities | 87 | 778 | 772 | 748 | * | * | * | * | * | 85\% | 50\% |
| English Learners | * | * | * | 710 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Non-English Learners | * | * | * | 745 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Homeless Students | N | N | N | 719 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |
| Students in Foster Care | N | N | N | 717 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 14\% |
| Military-Connected Students | N | N | N | 743 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 40\% |
| Migrant Students | N | N | N | 708 | N | N | N | N | N | N | 13\% |

[^0]Mathematics Assessment - Performance Trends
This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations for the past three years.


[^1]Alternate Assessments - Participation
This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

| Grade | ELA: <br> \# Students Tested | Math: <br> \# Students Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |
| 5 | N | N |
| 6 | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |

English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance
This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency. The table also shows the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District $\left.$\begin{tabular}{c|c|c|c|}
\# Students <br>
Tested

 

\% Students with <br>
Overall Score <br>
Below 4.5

 

\% Students with <br>
Overall Score of <br>
4.5 and above

 \right\rvert\, 

\hline 1 \& 71 \& $83.1 \%$ \& $16.9 \%$ <br>
\hline 2 \& 22 \& $45.5 \%$ \& $54.5 \%$ <br>
\hline 3 \& $*$ \& $*$ \& $*$ <br>
\hline 4 \& $*$ \& $*$ \& $*$ <br>
\hline $5+$ \& N \& N \& N <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## School No. 1

 grades 4 and 8 and has three proficiency categories. Students performing at "Proficient" and "Advanced Proficient" have demonstrated readiness for the next grade-level/course.

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance: Grade 4

This table shows the percentage of students in each proficiency category for the NJASK science test for the school and each student group and also provides a comparison to the statewide percentages.

| Student Group | \% Advanced <br> Proficient | \% Proficient | \% Partially <br> Proficient |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statewide | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Schoolwide | $82 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| White | $81 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $64 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Black or African American | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | $86 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | N | N |
| Two or More Races | ${ }^{*}$ | N | N |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ | N |
| Students with Disabilities | $63 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| English Learners | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ | ${ }^{*}$ |

## NJASK Science Assessment Performance Trends: Grade 4

This graph shows the percentage of students by proficiency category for the past three school years.


This section of the performance report shows information on student growth which measures how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65
If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short video that explains how median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) is calculated here:
http://www.state.nj.us/education/njsmart/performance/

## Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for the school and each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability target of 40 for the 2016-17 school year.

| Student Group | ELA: <br> School Median | ELA: <br> District Median | ELA: <br> Statewide Median | ELA: <br> Met Target of 40 | Math: <br> School Median | Math: District Median | Math: Statewide Median | Math: <br> Met Target of 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 73 | * | * | Exceeds Standard | 74 | * | * | Exceeds Standard |
| White | 71 | 57 | 50 | Exceeds <br> Standard | 75 | 63 | 52 | Exceeds <br> Standard |
| Hispanic | 72 | 50 | 49 | Exceeds Standard | 68 | 54 | 47 | Exceeds Standard |
| Black or African American | * | 43.5 | 45 | ** | * | 53.5 | 43 | ** |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 75 | 64 | 60 | Exceeds Standard | 80 | 68 | 59 | Exceeds Standard |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | 51 | ** | * | * | 51 | ** |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 51 | ** | * | * | 52 | ** |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 72.5 | 50 | 47 | Exceeds Standard | 73 | 62 | 46 | Exceeds Standard |
| Students with Disabilities | 57 | 39 | 41 | Met Standard | 61 | 45 | 43 | Exceeds <br> Standard |
| English Learners | 87 | 80 | 53 | Exceeds Standard | 89 | 81.5 | 51 | Exceeds Standard |

** ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

School No. 1

## Grade Span KG-06

Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in the prior year.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels:
Low Growth: Less than 35
Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65
High Growth: Greater than 65

## Student Growth by Grade

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2015-16 PARCC performance leve that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2016-17 test scores.



ELA



This section shows information about student absences which provides important information about a school's culture and climate. In addition, research shows that student absences impact a child's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education selected chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the percentage of students in grades K-12 in the school and each student group who were chronically absent. This table also shows whether the school and each student group met the ESSA accountability targets for 201617.

| Student Group | \% Chronically <br> Absent | $2016-17$ <br> Target | Met <br> 2016-17 <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 6.20 | 8.30 | Met Target |
| White | 4.50 | 8.30 | Met Target |
| Hispanic | 12.40 | 8.30 | Not Met |
| Black or African American | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or <br> Pacific Islander | 4.60 | 8.30 | Met Target |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Two or More Races | N | ${ }^{* *}$ | ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students | 14.50 | 8.30 | Not Met |
| Students with Disabilities | 6.50 | 8.30 | Met Target |
| English Learners | 14.80 | 8.30 | Not Met |

[^2]
## Days Absent

The graph displays the percentage of K-12 students schoolwide by the number of days they were absent during the school year. An absence is defined as being 'not present' and includes both excused and unexcused absences.


## School No. 1

## Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students in the school and across the state who were chronically absent for each grade level. Chronic absenteeism is defined as being absent for $10 \%$ or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, excused, unexcused or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by statute or regulation.


## NJ SCHOOL <br> PERFORMANCE REPORT

## School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher.

| Category | School |
| :--- | :---: |
| Typical Start Time | 8:40AM |
| Typical End Time | 3:00PM |
| Length of School Day | 6 Hrs 20 Mins |
| Full Time - Instructional Time | 6 Hrs. 20 Mins. |
| Shared Time - Instructional Time | ${ }^{*}$ |

## Student Suspension Rate

This table shows the percentage of students who received one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, and one or more suspensions of any type during the school year. Students receiving both in-school and out-of-school suspensions will be counted in all rows of the table. Students who received more than one suspension are counted only one time in each category below.

| Suspension Types | \% of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| In-School Suspensions | $0.4 \%$ |
| Out-of-School Suspensions | $0.3 \%$ |
| Any Suspension | $0.7 \%$ |

## Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents provides a non-duplicated count of incidents. The final row shows a rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled in the school.

| Incident Type | Number of Incidents |
| :--- | :---: |
| Violence | 0 |
| Vandalism | 0 |
| Weapons | 0 |
| Substances | 0 |
| Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) | 0 |
| Total Unique Incidents | 0 |
| Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled | 0.00 |

Student Expulsions
This table shows the number of students who were expelled from the school during the school year. An expulsion is when a student is removed from a school and does not receive any further educational services during the school year.

| Category | Number of Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Expulsions | 0 |

## Technology Readiness

This table shows information that was collected through the optional NJTRAx survey to determine a school's technology readiness. The student to device ratio shows the number of students for every computer or tablet in the school. The internet speed is the internet bandwidth per 1000 students enrolled in the district measured in megabits per second. The connectivity between schools is a school's LAN network architecture. The NJTRAx survey is optional for schools, so data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) policy may have appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

| School Year | Student to Device Ratio |  | Internet Speed | Recommended <br> Internet Speed | Met <br> Recommended <br> Speed? | Connectivity <br> between <br> Schools | Recommended <br> Connectivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $2.9: 1$ | 207.0 kbps | 100 kbps | Yes | Fiber | Fiber |  |
| Connectivity? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs).

| Per-Pupil Expenditures | Federal | State/ <br> Local | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Total (2015-2016) | $\$ 459$ | $\$ 14,270$ | $\$ 14,729$ |

## NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

School No. 1 2016-2017

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers - Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

| Category | Teachers in <br> School | Teachers <br> in State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of teachers | 54 | 120,724 |
| Average years experience in <br> public schools | 13.4 | 11.8 |
| Average years experience in <br> district | 12.4 | 10.5 |
| Teachers in district for 4 or more <br> years | $91 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Administrators - Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

| Category | Admin. in <br> District | Admin. in <br> State |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Number of administrators | 21 | 9,506 |
| Average years experience in public <br> schools | 13.2 | 15.9 |
| Average years experience in district | 7.4 | 11.6 |
| Administrators in district for 4 or <br> more years | $48 \%$ | $74 \%$ |

## Student to Staff Ratios

This table shows the number of students per staff member in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

| Staff Type | School <br> Students: <br> Staff | District <br> Students: <br> Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Teachers | $13: 1$ | $13: 1$ |
| Administrators | $63: 1$ | $184: 1$ |
| Librarian/Media <br> Specialists |  | $644: 1$ |
| Nurses |  | $644: 1$ |
| Counselors |  | $322: 1$ |
| Child Study Team |  | $258: 1$ |

## NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

This section contains information about staff that are assigned to the school and district. Here is an explanation of some of the key terms used in the staff section:
Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members)
Teachers: All classroom teachers
Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators
Full-Time Equivalent: In calculations, staff members are weighted based on the percentage of their time that they are assigned to a school or district. For example - a teacher assigned to a school half time would be weighted $50 \%$ in calculations, but a teacher assigned to a school full time would be weighted $100 \%$ in calculations.

## Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators at this school. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

## Bachelor's Degree



Master's Degree


## Doctoral Degree

| Teacher $0 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Admin | $9 \%$ |

## Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to the district in 2015-16 that were still assigned to the district in 2016-17. Staff who are not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

| Job Type | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 Teachers: Same district 2016-17 | $93 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 2015-16 Administrators: Same district 2016-17 | $79 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## Faculty Attendance

This table shows the percentage of days that school faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, stafi training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

| School Year | \% Days Present |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | $97 \%$ |

## School No. 1

## Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of comprehensive support. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weights that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative rating below the 5 th percentile or high schools with a 4 -year graduation rate that is less than or equal to $67 \%$ are identified for Comprehensive Support. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these accountability resources.

** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.
$\dagger$ Indicator weights for this school were adjusted due to data availability.

## Accountability Summary by Student Group

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom $5 \%$ of schools (see previous page) is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. If any student group is identified for Targeted Support, this will be noted in the Schoolwide row in the table. Schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators two years in a row are identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups miss annual targets for all indicators are noted to be At Risk for being identified for Targeted Support for the 2017-18 school year. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate are set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the statewide average for all students. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

| Student Group | Summative Score | Cut-off Score for Targeted Support and Improvement | Requires Targeted Support and Improvement for 2016-17 | English Language Arts Proficiency | Mathematics Proficiency | Chronic Absenteeism | English Language Arts Student Growth | Mathematics Student Growth | At Risk for Consistently Underperformi ng Student Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schoolwide | 89.0 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Targett | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| White | 87.7 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Targett | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| Hispanic | 84.8 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Targett | Not Met | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| Black or African American | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander | 81.0 | 11.9 | No | Met Goal | Met Goal | Met Target | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Two or More Races | ** | ** | No | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | No |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | 88.1 | 11.9 | No | Met Targett | Met Targett | Not Met | Exceeds Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 90.7 | 11.9 | No | Met Targett | Not Met | Met Target | Met Target | Exceeds Target | No |
| English Learners | 85.4 | 11.9 | No | Met Target | Met Target | Not Met | Exceeds Target\| | Exceeds Target | No |

[^3]$\dagger$ Target was met within a confidence interval.

## School General Info

| School General Info |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal: | Ms. Giacomelli | Email Address: | rgiacomelli@flboe.com |
| Address: | 250 HOYM STREET FORT LEE, NJ 07024 | Website: | www.flboe.com |
| Phone: | (201)585-4620 |  |  |

## School Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.

| Highlights: | - School 1 enjoys an outstanding reputation for the quality of our instructional programs. <br> - Data analysis drives instruction where all student's progress is tracked to ensure that individual needs are being met. <br> - Our constructivist approach to education best addresses the needs of our diverse student population. |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Mission, Vision, , Theme: | The mission of the Fort Lee Public Schools is to prepare our students to be life-long learners and to ensure that all achieve the New Jersey Student Learning Standards and global skills through a safe, comprehensive learning environment that meets the unique needs of each individual, to foster strong parent-community-school partnerships, and connections to the real world. |
| Awards, Recognition, Accomplishments: | Our students have been acknowledged through Presidential Physical Fitness Challenges, our diversity is honored through bilingual and world language classes, and the varied needs of students are addressed through before, during and after school tutoring as well as a well-developed Gifted and Talented program. NJ Classroom Close-Up also produced a televised program on the listening to Opera as a gateway to learning for our special population. |

## School Narrative
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| Courses, Curriculum, <br> Instruction: | Our Envision Math and Interactive Science curriculum address the importance of developing our students'capacity to <br> think. Content and process is learned through hands-on investigations. our students learn through authentic, real life <br> situations, developing their skills to produce results that support their development of work-place readiness. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clubs and Activities: | The concern for the development of the whole student is also shown in programs such as SADD (students against <br> destructive decisions), the Buddy program, Safety Patrol, Peer Mediators and Environmental club. Academic and <br> social levelopment <br> is our excellent relationship with the comp developed through multiple means and curriculum areas. Critical to our success |
| Before and After <br> School Programs: | Before school starts, students are involved in the BOKS (Reebok) program which provides fitness through exercises <br> which stimulate both the body and mind. After-school enrichment programs continue to provide students the <br> opportunity to explore a variety of interests including; math, science, , <br> Zumba, Lego construction and chess. We believe that great instruction comes from authentic experiences. |
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| Staff and Professional | Teachers and staff are exposed to professional development in safety courses, balanced literacy, data collection, math <br> and science curriculum updates, and Sheltered Instruction for our ESL population, all to enhance the already <br> outstanding qualities the teacher's possess. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Searning: |  |
| Student Supports and |  |
| Services: |  | | School 1 has a dedicated CST that is available at all times. Their focus is following and providing each student with the |
| :--- |
| necessary supplemental programs, activities and an individualized educational plan (IEP) that will foster success. Our |
| Basic Skills program meets the needs of our struggling students in both math and language arts. The guidance |
| counselor lends expertise in child psychology, maintains the RTI model and I\&RS program. |
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>In addition to our District's highlights, School 1 has integrated the "FISH" philosophy; we choose the attitude to come to school with, to make every day fun, filled with learning, positive energy and support those around us. >We maintain open communication for parents, teachers and administration offering the best possible social, emotional and academic environment. >School 1 practices "Thoughtful Thursday's" where students are given 5-10 minutes of complete quiet a "mindfulness" activity. >K-6 students are exposed to technology through chromebook activities daily/weekly. >After all, School 1 is where teachers are respected and our "Students Come First".


[^0]:    **Grade 6 does not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests

[^1]:    ${ }^{* *}$ Grades 6-8 do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.

[^2]:    ${ }^{* *}$ ESSA accountability targets are only included if data is available for at least 20 students.

[^3]:    ** Indicator scores are only calculated for inclusion in ESSA accountability if data is available for at least 20 students.

