NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE		School No. 1	(03-1550-060)				
REPORT			2022-2023				
County: Bergen			Principal: Mr. Alberto Ruiz				
District: Fort Lee School Distr	rict		School Website				
250 Hoym Street			<b>L</b> 201-585-4620				
Fort Lee, NJ 07024	Ļ						
	554		KG-04				
	Total Students	<b>I</b> AI	Grades Offered				

# **Overview & Resources**

The School Performance Reports reflect the New Jersey Department of Education's (NJDOE) commitment to providing parents, students, and school communities with a large variety of information about each school and district. These reports can be used as a tool to help evaluate whether all students have equitable access to high quality education. We encourage you to use these reports to:

- Learn more about the school and the district
- Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- Engage with school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

Important Notes for 2022-23 Reports: The 2022-23 School Performance Reports include all data that was previously reported prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, which includes all assessment, student growth, and accountability data. Data throughout the reports has been impacted by the pandemic in various ways, so the NJDOE recommends caution in comparing data from year to year.

School Performance Report Resources: The NJDOE has several resource documents that can help you understand and use the School Performance Reports, which can also be found under the "Resource Documents" link at the top of the page:

- One-page guides to help start conversations for school board members, administrators, educators, elementary, and middle and high school families
- Reference Guide with details on all the data in these reports
- Frequently Asked Questions
- Understanding Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rates
- <u>Understanding Student Growth Percentiles</u>
- Data Privacy Rules (why you see \*'s and N's in the reports)

Let the NJDOE know how we can improve future reports by taking our feedback survey. Contact reportcard@doe.nj.gov with any questions about the reports



### Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# **Overview & Resources**

### **School Contact Information**

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	Bergen
District	Fort Lee School District
Principal Name	Mr. Alberto Ruiz
Address	250 Hoym Street, Fort Lee, NJ 07024
Phone Number	<u>201-585-4620</u>
Email Address	<u>aruiz@flboe.com</u>
Website	http://fles1.flboe.com/



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display + This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Demographics

Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

### **Enrollment Trends by Grade**

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years. Any students enrolled outside of the grades offered will be included in the total enrollment.

Grade	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
KG	105	115	93
1	111	116	116
2	119	120	114
3	92	109	119
4	102	90	112
Total	529	550	554

### Enrollment Trends by Student Group

This table shows the percentage of students by student group for the past three school years. To protect student and staff privacy, gender percentages for 2020-21, 2021-22, and 2022-23 may show a range, depending on the overall school population size.

Student Group	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Female	47.0%	48.0%	49.0%
Male	53.0%	52.0%	51.0%
Non-Binary/Undesignated Gender	≤1%	≤1%	≤1%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	4.9%	5.8%	4.9%
Students with Disabilities	10.8%	11.8%	11.7%
English Learners	22.7%	21.8%	24.0%
Homeless Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Students in Foster Care	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Military-Connected Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Migrant Students	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

### Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial And Ethnic Group	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
White	21.9%	20.4%	20.4%
Hispanic	13.8%	12.9%	14.3%
Black or African American	1.1%	1.5%	1.6%
Asian	59.5%	60.5%	58.3%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Two Or More Races	3.6%	4.5%	5.4%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

No Data is available to display This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Demographics


Enrollment data reflects enrollment reported at the end of the school year and only includes students attending the school. Students that attend programs at other schools or outside of the district are not included in enrollment counts and percentages.

Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG								
This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.								
Grade 2020-21 2021-22 2022-23								
KG - Half Day	0	0	0					
KG - Full Day	105	115	93					



Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display t This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Demographics





# Student Growth

Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. New Jersey's ESSA state plan outlines that academic progress will be measured with school's median student growth percentile (mSGP) on statewide ELA and mathematics assessments. Each individual student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7. The SGP measures their academic progress from one year to the next compared to other students with similar prior test scores (academic peers).

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three levels. An mSGP below 35 indicates low growth, an mSGP between 35 and 65 indicates typical growth, and an mSGP greater than 65 indicates high growth. If the SGPs for all students are ordered from smallest to largest, the mSGP is the percentile in the middle of the list.

The NJDOE Student Growth page has more information about SGPs and mSGPs, including a video that explains how both SGPs and mSGPs are calculated.

Important Note for 2022-23: Student growth data is not available for the 2019-2020, 2020-2021, and 2021-2022 school years due to federal waivers and assessment cancellations. An alternate measure of academic progress, or growth, called Relative School Improvement Measure (RSIM) was used for the 2021-2022 school years only.

### Student Growth Trends and Progress

These graphs illustrate trends in student growth over the last three years. Student growth is measured by median student growth percentile. The table below tells us how student growth over the last three years compares to the state standard of 40-59.5 and to the statewide median.

Important Note for 2022-23: Student growth data is not available for the 2019-2020, 2020-2021, and 2021-2022 school years due to federal waivers and assessment cancellations.

	ELA Mo	edian Student Growth Perce	ntile			Math Median Student Gro	wth Percentile	
100					100			
80			71		80			70
60			· ·		60			•
40					40			
20					20			
0	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23		0	2021-2	2	2022-23
Performance Mea	asure		2020-21 ELA	2021-22 ELA	2022-23 ELA	2020-21 Math	2021-22 Math	2022-23 Math
Median Student G	rowth Percentile				71			70
Met Standard (40-	-59.5)?				Exceeds Standard			Exceeds Standard
Statewide: Mediar	n Student Growth Percentile				50			50



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

N No Data is available to display

**†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Student Growth

### Student Growth

This table shows the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for both English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics both overall and for each student group with comparisons to the district and the state. This table also shows whether each mSGP Met Standard (40-59.5) as required by ESSA accountability.

Student Group	ELA: School Median	ELA: District Median	ELA: Statewide Median	ELA: Met Standard (40-59.5)	Math: School Median	Math: District Median	Math: Statewide Median	Math: Met Standard (40-59.5)
Schoolwide	71	57	50	Exceeds Standard	70	61	50	Exceeds Standard
White	79	55	51	Exceeds Standard	77	58	51	Exceeds Standard
Hispanic	49	51	47	**	70	59	47	**
Black or African American	*	54	45	**	*	53	44	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	73	64	60	Exceeds Standard	68	66	61	Exceeds Standard
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	*	54	**	Ν	*	49	**
Two or More Races	*	38	52	**	*	67.5	51	**
Female	70	58	52		77	59	49	
Male	71.5	56	48		69	64	51	
Non-Binary/Undesignated Gender	Ν	Ν	46.5		Ν	Ν	62	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	49	46	**	*	57.5	46	**
Students with Disabilities	58	41	40	**	43	45.5	42	**
English Learners	71	59	47	Exceeds Standard	52	75	48	Met Standard
Homeless Students	Ν	*	42		N	*	42	
Students in Foster Care	Ν	Ν	42		N	N	44	
Military-Connected Students	Ν	*	50		N	*	49	
Migrant Students	Ν	Ν	36		N	N	43	




### Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Student Growth

# Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile (mSGP) for students by performance level on the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) for English language arts (ELA) and mathematics.






#### Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Student Growth





#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table


# Academic Achievement

This section of the reports includes results on statewide assessments, including the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment page.

### English Language Arts and Mathematics Performance Trends

These graphs show trends in the Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability over the last three years. The data includes the results of students taking both the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA) and the DLM alternate assessment for English language arts (ELA) and mathematics. The Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability measures the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on the assessments (NJSLA or DLM) with an adjustment made if the participation rate is below 95% to ensure reporting on at least 95% of students. Students who were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. The table below provides participation rates, proficiency rates, and status in meeting the targets, and statewide proficiency rates.

Important note for 2022-23: Due to the cancellation of statewide assessments in prior years, statewide assessment results are not available for the 2020-21 school year.



Performance Measure	2020-21 ELA	2021-22 ELA	2022-23 ELA	2020-21 Math	2021-22 Math	2022-23 Math
Participation Rate		98.5%	96.5%		98.5%	96.6%
Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability		80.6%	78.4%		81.9%	79.7%
Annual Target			80.0%			80.0%
Met Annual Target?		Met Goal	Met Target†		Met Goal	Met Target†
Statewide Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability		49.0%	51.3%		36.0%	38.2%
† Target was met within a confidence interval.						



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students No Data is available to display + This indicates a table specific note see note below table

# Academic Achievement

This section of the reports includes results on statewide assessments, including the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, the NJDOE's Assessment (NJSLA), the NJSLA (NJ Important Note for 2022-23: NJSLA data is not available for 2019-2020 and 2020-21 due to federal waivers and assessment cancellations. As data over the last three years was impacted by the pandemic in various ways, the NJDOE recommends caution in comparing data from year to year.

**English Language Arts Assessment - Participation and Performance** 

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for English language arts (ELA) both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM. NJSLA results include only students in grades 3 through 9. Students who were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. Student are considered to have met or exceeded expectations if they score at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM.


This table shows both the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long-term goal of 80% proficiency by 2032. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long-term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see the NJDOE Accountability page. More information and additional data can also be found on the NJDOE Academic Achievement page.

Student Group	Valid	% of students taking	School: % of testers met/exceeded	District: % of testers met/exceeded	State: % of testers met/exceeded	Proficiency Rate for Federal	Annual	Met Annual
Student Group	Scores	test	expectations	expectations	expectations	Accountability	Target	Target
Schoolwide	218	96.5%	78.4%	71.7%	51.3%	78.4%	80%	Met Target†
White	51	96.3%	80.4%	69.9%	60.7%	80.4%	78.1%	Met Goal
Hispanic	35	97.2%	65.7%	55.6%	37.3%	65.7%	61.9%	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	52.1%	34%	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	120	96.1%	85%	82.9%	79.8%	85%	80%	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	52.7%	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	58.6%	58.2%	*	**	**
Female	*	97.4%	85.8%	74.5%	56.8%	85.8%		
Male	*	95.6%	70.5%	69.1%	46%	70.5%		
Non-binary/undesignated gender	*	*	*	*	62.5%	*		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	19	95%	78.9%	57.6%	33.4%	78.9%	**	**
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	199	96.7%	78.4%	74.6%	61.3%	78.4%		
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	70.4%	32.8%	19.2%	70.4%	55.4%	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	191	96.1%	79.6%	78.9%	58.3%	79.6%		
English Learners	58	92.1%	74.1%	56%	23.9%	71.8%	70.8%	Met Target
Non-English Learners	160	98.2%	80%	74.5%	54.7%	80%		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	23.2%	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	*	20.3%	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	49.2%	*		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	15.9%	*		
† Target was met within a confidence inte	erval.							



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement





#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement

Student Group	Valid	School Mean	District Mean		% Level 1: Did not yet meet				% Level 5: Exceeded	% of testers met or	State: % of testers met or
	Scores	Scale Score	Scale Score	Scale Score	expectations	expectations	expectations	expectations	expectations	exceeded expectations	exceeded expectations
Schoolwide	116	770	761	739	3%	8%	19%	58%	13%	71%	42%
Vhite	29	769	758	749	0%	7%	21%	66%	7%	72%	51%
Hispanic	15	753	740	723	7%	13%	27%	47%	7%	53%	27%
Black or African American	*	*	739	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	26%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	64	776	771	768	3%	6%	13%	61%	17%	78%	69%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Two or More Races	*	*	764	749	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
emale	*	776	769	744	2%	6%	15%	62%	15%	77%	47%
/ale	*	765	753	734	3%	9%	22%	55%	11%	66%	37%
Non-binary/undesignated gender	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
conomically Disadvantaged Students	12	768	745	719	0%	17%	8%	58%	17%	75%	23%
Von-Economically Disadvantaged Students	104	770	763	751	3%	7%	20%	58%	13%	70%	53%
tudents with Disabilities	15	753	734	709	7%	7%	27%	60%	0%	60%	18%
tudents without Disabilities	101	772	765	745	2%	8%	18%	57%	15%	72%	47%
nglish Learners	18	749	732	703	6%	11%	39%	39%	6%	44%	12%
Ion-English Learners	98	774	766	743	2%	7%	15%	61%	14%	76%	46%
omeless Students	*	*	*	708	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
tudents in Foster Care	*	*	*	708	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Ailitary-Connected Students	*	*	*	739	*	*	*	*	*	*	40%
Vigrant Students	*	*	*	701	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement

Student Group	Valid Scores	School Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations	% Level 2: Partially met expectations	% Level 3: Approached expectations	% Level 4: Met expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded expectations	% of testers met or exceeded expectations	State: % of testers met o exceeded expectations
Schoolwide	107	783	777	748	0%	5%	10%	45%	40%	85%	51%
White	23	781	779	758	0%	4%	9%	43%	43%	87%	62%
Hispanic	20	769	758	734	0%	5%	20%	50%	25%	75%	36%
Black or African American	*	*	760	733	*	*	*	*	*	*	34%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	59	792	787	776	0%	0%	8%	44%	47%	92%	79%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
emale	*	787	783	752	0%	2%	5%	48%	45%	94%	55%
/lale	*	778	770	745	0%	9%	18%	40%	33%	73%	48%
Non-binary/undesignated gender	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	760	731	*	*	*	*	*	*	32%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	783	779	759	0%	5%	10%	44%	41%	85%	63%
Students with Disabilities	13	767	745	720	0%	15%	8%	62%	15%	77%	22%
Students without Disabilities	94	785	782	755	0%	3%	11%	43%	44%	86%	58%
English Learners	*	*	732	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Non-English Learners	*	785	781	752	0%	4%	8%	47%	42%	88%	55%
Iomeless Students	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
tudents in Foster Care	*	*	*	724	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
lilitary-Connected Students	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Aigrant Students	*	*	*	697	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement

This section of the reports includes results on statewide assessments, including the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment page.

#### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows performance on statewide assessments for mathematics both overall and by student group. It includes the results of students taking both the NJSLA and DLM. NJSLA results include students taking end-of-grade assessments in grades 3 through 8, as well as end-of-course assessments (Algebra I, Geometry, Algebra II) in middle school and high school. High school mathematics assessment results for Algebra I and Geometry and/or Algebra II results only for students who took Algebra I in middle school and who are enrolled in their first high school mathematics course that corresponds to an end-of-course assessment. Students who were enrolled for less than half a school year are excluded from performance results. Student are considered to have met or exceeded expectations if they score at Level 4 or 5 on the NJSLA or at Level 3 or 4 on the DLM assessment.


This table shows both the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations and a proficiency rate used for federal accountability. The proficiency rate for federal accountability will be lower if the participation rate is below 95%. This table also shows progress towards meeting the state's long-term goal of 80% proficiency by 2032. Annual targets are specific to each subgroup, school, and district and represent the expected proficiency needed to stay on track to meet the state's long-term goal. Student groups where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see the <u>NJDOE Accountability page</u>. More information and additional data can also be found on the <u>NJDOE Accountability page</u>.

Student Group	Valid	% of students taking	School: % of testers met/exceeded	District: % of testers met/exceeded	State: % of testers met/exceeded	Proficiency Rate for Federal	Annual	Met Annual
Student Group	Scores	test	expectations	expectations	expectations	Accountability	Target	Target
Schoolwide	222	96.6%	79.7%	61.2%	38.2%	79.7%	80%	Met Target†
White	51	96.3%	82.4%	57.2%	48.7%	82.4%	80%	Met Goal
Hispanic	36	97.3%	52.8%	33.2%	22.2%	52.8%	51.8%	Met Target
Black or African American	*	*	*	34.7%	17.9%	*	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	123	96.3%	89.4%	79.2%	73.1%	89.4%	80%	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	40.1%	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	51.7%	46.4%	*	**	**
Female	*	97.5%	75.9%	59.6%	36.5%	75.9%		
Male	*	95.7%	84%	62.8%	39.9%	84%		
Non-binary/undesignated gender	*	*	*	*	36.8%	*		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	20	95.2%	55%	42.9%	19.5%	55%		
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	202	96.8%	82.2%	65%	48.8%	82.2%		
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	66.7%	26.5%	15.7%	66.7%	48.2%	Met Target
Students without Disabilities	195	96.2%	81.5%	67.5%	43%	81.5%		
English Learners	62	92.6%	80.6%	60.6%	18.1%	78.5%	80%	Met Target†
Non-English Learners	160	98.2%	79.4%	61.4%	41%	79.4%		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	*	11.9%	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	*	<10%	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	*	37.3%	*		
Migrant Students	*	*	*	*	12.7%	*		
† Target was met within a confidence inte	rval.							



Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement





#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement

This table shows performance	on the Ma	thematics section of	of the New Jersey Stu	Ident Learning Ass	Mathematics As sessment (NJSLA). The performa		mance By Grade: G ude all students that took the		which includes students tha	t were enrolled less than half a y	ear.
Student Group	Valid Scores	School Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations	% Level 2: Partially met expectations	% Level 3: Approached expectations	% Level 4: Met expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded expectations	% of testers met or exceeded expectations	State: % of testers met or exceeded expectations
Schoolwide	118	776	768	745	1%	3%	15%	50%	31%	81%	46%
White	29	770	763	756	0%	3%	14%	69%	14%	83%	58%
Hispanic	16	748	744	731	0%	13%	44%	44%	0%	44%	29%
Black or African American	*	*	743	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	65	788	780	775	2%	2%	6%	43%	48%	91%	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
Two or More Races	*	*	766	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	53%
Female	*	775	770	743	0%	4%	20%	46%	30%	76%	44%
Male	*	778	767	747	2%	3%	11%	53%	31%	84%	48%
Non-binary/undesignated gender	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged Students	12	765	756	727	0%	0%	42%	50%	8%	58%	26%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	106	778	770	756	1%	4%	12%	50%	33%	83%	58%
Students with Disabilities	15	775	748	724	0%	7%	27%	40%	27%	67%	24%
Students without Disabilities	103	776	771	750	1%	3%	14%	51%	31%	83%	50%
English Learners	20	765	757	721	0%	5%	25%	55%	15%	70%	20%
Non-English Learners	98	779	771	749	1%	3%	13%	49%	34%	83%	50%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	746	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	728	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement

This table shows performance	on the Ma	thematics section o	of the New Jersey Stu	udent Learning As			mance By Grade: G ude all students that took the		which includes students the	at were enrolled less than half a y	ear.
Student Group	Valid Scores	School Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did not yet meet expectations	% Level 2: Partially met expectations	% Level 3: Approached expectations	% Level 4: Met expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded expectations	% of testers met or exceeded expectations	State: % of testers met or exceeded expectations
Schoolwide	112	774	766	743	3%	4%	17%	53%	23%	76%	44%
White	23	772	766	754	9%	0%	9%	70%	13%	83%	57%
Hispanic	20	755	747	729	0%	15%	25%	55%	5%	60%	27%
Black or African American	*	*	742	723	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	64	783	777	773	0%	2%	16%	50%	33%	83%	78%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	54%
Female	*	775	766	741	0%	5%	20%	52%	23%	75%	42%
Male	*	773	767	745	6%	4%	13%	54%	23%	77%	47%
Non-binary/undesignated gender	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	*	756	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	*	775	768	754	2%	5%	15%	53%	25%	78%	57%
Students with Disabilities	13	749	741	720	15%	23%	0%	54%	8%	62%	21%
Students without Disabilities	99	777	770	748	1%	2%	19%	53%	25%	78%	49%
English Learners	*	*	745	716	*	*	*	*	*	*	14%
Non-English Learners	*	775	769	747	2%	4%	17%	53%	24%	77%	48%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	715	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Students in Foster Care	*	*	*	713	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	744	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%
Migrant Students	*	*	*	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	21%



# Academic Achievement

This table shows the number of students	- DLM Alternate Assessment taking the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) alternate assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (E	
Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	Ν	Ν
4	Ν	Ν



# Academic Achievement

This section of the reports includes results on statewide assessments, including the New Jersey Student Learning Assessment (NJSLA), the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), and the ACCESS for ELLs assessment. For more information about New Jersey's statewide assessments, see the NJDOE's Assessment page.

### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested who received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score 4.5 or Above
0-2	100	70%	30%
3-4	17	76.5%	23.5%
5 or more	*	*	*

### **English Language Progress to Proficiency**

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. A student's initial year proficiency level and student growth expectations are increased by equal intervals each year so that the student meets the proficiency cut score of 4.5 within five years. The table shows the annual target for the percentage of students making expected growth and whether that target was met.

Student Group	Percent of English learners making expected growth to proficiency	Annual Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	75%	45.5%	Met Goal
† Target was met within a confidence interval.			



# Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement

The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grades 5, 8, and 11 take the NJSLA-Science assessment. Students receive a score of Level 1, 2, 3, or 4 where levels 3 and 4 represent proficiency. Visit the <u>NJSLA-Science website</u> for more information about NJSLA-S. These results do not include students that took the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) Science assessment. Visit the <u>assessment reports page</u> for DLM results.

		NJSLA Science Assessment: Summary		
This table shows how s	tudents performed on the NJSLA Science assessment. Students scoring at Level 3 or 4 are cor	nsidered proficient.		
Important note for 202	2-23 Reports: Due to the cancellation of statewide assessments in prior years, statewide asses	ssment results are not available for the 2020-21 school year.		
	100			
	90			
	80			
Level 4	70			
Level 3	60			
	50			
Level 2	40			
Level 1	30			
	20			
	10			
	0			
	2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	



Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Academic Achievement

# Graduation Proficiency Assessment (NJGPA) : Grade 11

This table shows how grade 11 students performed on the New Jersey Graduation Proficiency Assessment (NJGPA), both overall and by student group. Students are identified as either Graduation Ready or Not Yet Graduation Ready for both English Language Arts (ELA) and mathematics based on their scores on the assessment.



# **College and Career Readiness**

Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website.

School No. 1 (03-1550-060) 2022-2023

#### Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table



# **College and Career Readiness**

Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website.

School No. 1 (03-1550-060) 2022-2023

#### Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table



### Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# **College and Career Readiness**

Information about <u>New Jersey Student Learning Standards</u> can be found on the NJDOE website.

This table she	nun the number of students who were envelled in	Computer Science and Information Technology (IT) courses by	omputer Science – Course Par				
Grade	Computer Programming	Principles of Computer Science	Computing Systems	Other Computer Science	Networking	Information Systems	Other IT
KG	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N
1	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N
2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N
3	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
4	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Climate and Environment

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Important Note for 2022-23: The NJDOE recommends caution in comparing the 2022-23 chronic absenteeism rates with the rates from prior years as the pandemic has impacted attendance rates over the last several years.

#### Chronic Absenteeism

This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The state average column is the target used for accountability purposes and it reflects the statewide average for students in the grades offered by the school. Each student group has the same target based on all students, so the same "state average" ("Net").

Student Group	# of Students Chronically Absent	% of Students Chronically Absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	73	13.1%	17.2%	Met
White	14	12.3%	17.2%	Met
Hispanic	24	27.0%	17.2%	Not Met
Black or African American	*	30.8%	**	**
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	26	8.3%	17.2%	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	5	17.2%	17.2%	Met
Female	*	12.6%		
Male	*	13.6%		
Non-Binary/Undesignated Gender	*	*		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	3	10.3%	17.2%	Met
Students with Disabilities	12	16.0%	17.2%	Met
English Learners	18	14.0%	17.2%	Met
Homeless Students	*	*		
Students in Foster Care	*	*		
Military-Connected Students	*	*		
Migrant Students	*	*		




#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Climate and Environment

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Important Note for 2022-23: The NJDOE recommends caution in comparing the 2022-23 chronic absenteeism rates with the rates from prior years as the pandemic has impacted attendance rates over the last several years.






#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# **Climate and Environment**

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations. Students with fewer than 45 days in membership are excluded from attendance calculations.

Important Note for 2022-23: The NJDOE recommends caution in comparing the 2022-23 chronic absenteeism rates with the rates from prior years as the pandemic has impacted attendance rates over the last several years.





#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# **Climate and Environment**

The New Jersey Department of Education collects data through the Student Safety Data System (SSDS). In addition to the data below, NJDOE is required, under ESSA, to report on the most recent, publicly-available Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) data, which can be found on the <u>NJDOE School Performance</u> webpage. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS, did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

Important note for 2022-23: The NJDOE recommends caution in comparing the 2022-23 student safety data with the data from 2020-21 and 2019-20 as the pandemic impacted the data for those years.

### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	1
Total Unique Incidents	1
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	0.18

#### **Police Notifications** This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification. Incident Type **Incidents Reported to Police** Violence 0 0 Weapons Vandalism 0 Substances 0 Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB) 0 Other Incidents Leading to Removal 0



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display \* This indicates a table specific note.see note below table

# **Climate and Environment**

The New Jersey Department of Education collects data through the Student Safety Data System (SSDS). In addition to the data below, NJDOE is required, under ESSA, to report on the most recent, publicly-available Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC) data, which can be found on the <u>NJDOE School Performance</u> <u>webpage</u>. Please note that prior to school year 2017-2018, the CRDC and the State collection system, EVVRS, did not collect the same data elements. In an effort to better align the State system with the CRDC, the new Student Safety Data System (SSDS) collects all the required CRDC data elements. NJDOE is in the process of developing a reporting function for the SSDS which will allow LEAs to use the same data for both submissions.

Important note for 2022-23: The NJDOE recommends caution in comparing the 2022-23 student safety data with the data from 2020-21 and 2019-20 as the pandemic impacted the data for those years.

### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	1	1	2
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
No Identified Nature	4		4

### Student Disciplinary Removals

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year. The NJDOE is additionally required to report on the number, percentage, and demographics of students who received removals and that data is available on the <u>NJDOE website</u>.

ıt-of-

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students
In-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Out-of-School Suspensions	0	0.0%
Any Suspension	0	0.0%
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%
Expulsion	0	0.0%
Arrest	0	0.0%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display + This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

# **Climate and Environment**

### School Day

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	8:40 AM
Typical End Time	3:00 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs. 20 Mins.
Full Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 20 Mins.
Shared Time - Instructional Time	Ν

### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in grades PK-12 per device, including devices designated for instruction, testing, and remote learning. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for online testing and digital learning in grades PreK through 12. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey and reflects data submitted as of October 2023, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low student to device ratio due to this policy decision.

Student to Device Ratio

1.6:1

pical Start Time	8:40 AM
pical End Time	3:00 PM
ngth of School Day	6 Hrs. 20 Mins.
II Time - Instructional Time	6 Hrs. 20 Mins.
ared Time - Instructional Time	N

### **Student Access to Technology and Internet**

School Year

2022-2023

The NJDOE collects information on student device types, device owners, and internet connectivity. Reports about student access to technology and internet connectivity, can be found on the NJDOE website.



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Staff

#### Staff data reflects teachers and administrators reported by districts at the beginning of the school year.

#### Key terms for staff data:

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Experience: Experience is based on information submitted by districts on all their staff members. For purposes of this report, the ESSA Educator Equity and federal reporting requirements, NJDOE has defined teachers as "inexperienced" if they have fewer than four years of prior experience in a given district. The tables below report on "experienced" teachers and administrators for federal reporting purposes.

Out-of-Field: A teacher is labeled "out-of-field" if they are potentially teaching outside their area of certification. This could occur if the teacher cannot be found in the NJDOE's certification system, if their job code does not match their certification, of if the teacher has an expired certificate. Provisional Teacher: A teacher with provisional certifications is a fully certified novice teacher of record who must meet further requirements of evaluation and mentoring during their induction into the profession before being granted "standard" or permanent certification.

### **Teachers – Experience**

This table shows information about the experience and professional qualifications of teachers. Out-of-field teachers are teachers who are potentially teaching outside of their area of certification. Teachers with provisional certifications are fully certified novice teachers of record who must meet further requirements of evaluation and mentoring during their induction into the profession before being granted "standard" or permanent certification. The New Jersey Department of Education does not issue emergency instructional certificates for teachers. Additional data on the professional qualifications of teachers and administrators, broken down by schools with high or low economically disadvantaged populations, is available on the <u>School Performance Staff page</u>.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	51	118,882
Average years experience in public schools	11.6	12.5
Average years experience in district	11.2	11.3
Number of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	37	88,415
Percentage of Teachers with 4 or more years experience in the district	72.5%	74.8%
Number of out-of-field teachers	0	2,811
Percentage of out-of-field teachers	0%	2.4%
Number of Teachers with Provisional Credentials	8	8,605
Percentage of Teachers with Provisional Credentials	15.7%	7.3%

#### Administrators – Experience

This table shows information about the experience of administrators assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Admin. in School	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	10	9,952
Average years experience in public schools	11.2	16.1
Average years experience in district	8.3	12.5
Number of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	7	7,675
Percentage of Administrators with 4 or more years experience in the district	70.0%	77.9%

#### Staff Counts

This table shows the number of staff members assigned to the school, district, and state across several staff categories. The staff counts in this table reflect data submitted by districts to NJ SMART and reflect any teachers assigned and are not based on full-time equivalent (FTE). Additionally, some staff members may be assigned to the district only but work in multiple schools in the district. In these cases, the table may show 0 staff members assigned to the school, even though there are district staff members working in the school. The School Safety Specialists data is based on data submitted by districts in the CDS system and is not available at the school level because it is a district assigned position.

Staff Category	School: Total Staff Members	District: Total Staff Members	State: Total Staff Members
Teachers	51	338	118,882
Administrators	10	21	9,952
Librarians/Media Specialists	1	6	1,194
Nurses	1	6	2,960
School Counselors	1	13	4,519
Child Study Team Members	3	27	9,367
School Psychologists	1	7	2,166
School Social Workers	Ν	б	2,654
Student Assistance Coordinators	Ν	1	381
School Safety Specialists	Ν	1	694



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Staff

#### Staff data reflects teachers and administrators reported by districts at the beginning of the school year.

### Key terms for staff data:

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Experience: Experience is based on information submitted by districts on all their staff members. For purposes of this report, the ESSA Educator Equity and federal reporting requirements, NJDOE has defined teachers as "inexperienced" if they have fewer than four years of prior experience in a given district. The tables below report on "experienced" teachers and administrators for federal reporting purposes.

Out-of-Field: A teacher is labeled "out-of-field" if they are potentially teaching outside their area of certification. This could occur if the teacher cannot be found in the NJDOE's certification system, if their job code does not match their certification, of if the teacher has an expired certificate. Provisional Teacher: A teacher with provisional certifications is a fully certified novice teacher of record who must meet further requirements of evaluation and mentoring during their induction into the profession before being granted "standard" or permanent certification.

### **Student and Staff Ratios**

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. The ratios are based on data submitted by districts to NJ SMART and are not based on staff full-time equivalent (FTE). The School Safety Specialists data is based on data submitted by districts in the CDS system and is not available at the school level because it is a district assigned position.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	11:1	12:1
Students to Administrators	55:1	192:1
Teachers to Administrators	5:1	16:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists †	554:1	674:1
Students to Nurses †	554:1	674:1
Students to Counselors †	554:1	311:1
Students to Child Study Team Members †,††	22:1	24:1
Students to School Psychologists †	554:1	577:1
Students to School Social Workers †	N	674:1
Students to Student Assistance Coordinators †	N	4042:1
Students to School Safety Specialists †	N	4042:1

† In some districts, staff members in these roles who work in multiple schools may be assigned only to the district and not to individual schools. As a result, a School Ratio may show N, but there may be district assigned staff working in the school

++ Child Study Team members include school psychologists, school social workers, and learning disabilities teacher consultants, also note that the ratio compares Students with Disabilities instead of all students.

### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table compares the percentage of students, teachers, and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group. To protect student and staff privacy, gender percentages may show a range, depending on the overall school population size.

Category	Students in School	Teachers in School	Administrators in School	Students in State	Teachers in State	Administrators in State
Female	49.0%	>90%	*	48.0%	77.0%	57.0%
Male	51.0%	≤10%	*	52.0%	23.0%	43.0%
Non-Binary/Undesignated Gender	≤1%	≤10%	*	≤1%	≤1%	≤1%
White	20.4%	72.5%	50.0%	39.1%	82.2%	74.8%
Hispanic	14.3%	11.8%	20.0%	33.1%	8.3%	8.5%
Black or African American	1.6%	0.0%	20.0%	14.4%	6.3%	14.3%
Asian	58.3%	15.7%	10.0%	10.1%	2.4%	1.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.6%
Two or More Races	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.2%	0.4%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Staff

### Staff data reflects teachers and administrators reported by districts at the beginning of the school year.


### Key terms for staff data:

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Experience: Experience is based on information submitted by districts on all their staff members. For purposes of this report, the ESSA Educator Equity and federal reporting requirements, NJDOE has defined teachers as "inexperienced" if they have fewer than four years of prior experience in a given district. The tables below report on "experienced" teachers and administrators for federal reporting purposes.

Out-of-Field: A teacher is labeled "out-of-field" if they are potentially teaching outside their area of certification. This could occur if the teacher cannot be found in the NJDOE's certification system, if their job code does not match their certification, of if the teacher has an expired certificate. Provisional Teacher: A teacher with provisional certifications is a fully certified novice teacher of record who must meet further requirements of evaluation and mentoring during their induction into the profession before being granted "standard" or permanent certification.



### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2021-22 that were still assigned to this district in 2022-23. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2021-22 Teachers: Same district 2022-23	93.1%	88.4%
2021-22 Administrators: Same district 2022-23	82.6%	86.6%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Staff

#### Staff data reflects teachers and administrators reported by districts at the beginning of the school year.

### Key terms for staff data:

Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

Experience: Experience is based on information submitted by districts on all their staff members. For purposes of this report, the ESSA Educator Equity and federal reporting requirements, NJDOE has defined teachers as "inexperienced" if they have fewer than four years of prior experience in a given district. The tables below report on "experienced" teachers and administrators for federal reporting purposes.

Out-of-Field: A teacher is labeled "out-of-field" if they are potentially teaching outside their area of certification. This could occur if the teacher cannot be found in the NJDOE's certification system, if their job code does not match their certification, of if the teacher has an expired certificate. Provisional Teacher: A teacher with provisional certifications is a fully certified novice teacher of record who must meet further requirements of evaluation and mentoring during their induction into the profession before being granted "standard" or permanent certification.

### **Teachers by Subject Area**

This table shows the counts of teachers by subject area with gender breakdown, experience, and level of education. The staff counts in this table reflect staff assignments as submitted by districts to NJ SMART and reflect any teachers assigned and are not based on full-time equivalent (FTE). Note that some teachers may teach in multiple subject areas and may be counted more than once in this table, or they may only be counted in their primary subject area. Additionally, in many elementary schools, teachers are not assigned to specific subject areas, so they will appear in the Elementary (Not Subject Specific) category and not in the other subject areas, but that does not mean those subjects are not being taught in the school. Special Education and Bilingual teachers are generally assigned to a subject area and also either special education or bilingual, so those teachers will be counted in multiple subject areas.

Subject Area	Total Number of Teachers	% Female	% Male	% Non-binary or Undesignated Gender	% White	% Hispanic	% Black or African American	% Asian	% Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	% American Indian or Native American		% 4 or more years experience in the district	% Bachelor's Degree(Highest Degree)	% Master's Degree(Highest Degree)	% Doctoral Degree(Highest Degree)
Elementary Not Subject Specific	34	>90%	≤10%	≤10%	79.4%	8.8%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	64.7%	64.7%	35.3%	0.0%
English/Language Arts/Literacy	4	*	*	*	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
English Speakers or Other Languages	3	*	*	*	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Mathematics	1	*	*	*	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Science	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν
Social Studies/History	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N
World Language	1	*	*	*	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Visual and Performing Arts	3	*	*	*	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%
Health/Physical Education	2	*	*	*	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Family & Consumer Sciences	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν
Financial Literacy	0	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N
Business	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N
Computer Science/IT	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
Industrial Arts	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
Career and Technical Education	0	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
Special Education	7	*	*	*	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%
Bilingual	5	*	*	*	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Per-Pupil Expenditures

### **Per-Pupil Expenditures by Source**

The table below summarizes the most recently available expenses for regular and special education students taught at schools in the district. The amounts include both actual personnel and actual non-personnel expenditures and include expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, and extra-curricular activities. An overall cost per pupil for a given school can be calculated by adding the Central Expenditures and the Expenditures Not Assigned to a School to the School Level Expenditures reported for that school. The Average Daily Enrollment (ADE\*\*) comes from the 2022-23 School Registry Summary (SRS).

For more information regarding this table please refer to the guidance for school level reporting, which is available on the NJDOE webpage <u>NJDOE webpage ESSA School Level Reporting Information</u>. More in-depth information about district and charter school spending can be found in the <u>User</u> <u>Friendly Budget</u> and the <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Auditor Management Reports (AMRs)</u>.

Please be aware that the total district expenditures represented in these reports are taken from audited district financial data, but the subsequent allocation of these resources to specific schools is not audited or otherwise verified by the New Jersey Department of Education. If you have specific questions about the assignment (or lack of assignment) of school-level amounts, please contact the district for additional information.

#### Link to District Summary of 2022-23 School-Level Per Pupil Expenditures by Source

An option to download all school-level summaries by district in one spreadsheet is also available. However, because districts have flexibility in how certain costs are allocated to individual schools, school-level costs should not be compared between schools in different districts.

Fort Lee Boro	Federal	State & Local	Total	ADE**
District Level Total Expenditures	\$922	\$16,788	\$17,710	4,010.2
District Level Central Expenditures	\$403	\$4,037	\$4,440	4,010.2
Fort Lee High School	\$548	\$12,046	\$12,594	1,164.6
School No. 1	\$339	\$12,234	\$12,573	545.8
School No. 2	\$488	\$17,679	\$18,167	369.6
School No. 3	\$338	\$12,297	\$12,635	353.3
School No. 4	\$339	\$11,896	\$12,235	401.2
Lewis F. Cole Middle School	\$667	\$12,568	\$13,235	1,175.7



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Accountability

New Jersey's Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Accountability System New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). Based on New Jersey's approved ESSA state plan, NJDOE will identify schools in the following four federal categories every three years:

- Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI): Overall Low Performing:
  - Title I schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools.
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI): Low Graduation Rate:

   All high schools with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less
- Additional Targeted Support and Improvement: Low Performing Student Group (ATSI):
- All schools with one or more student group with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools
- Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI): Chronically Low Performing:
  - Title I schools identified as Additional Targeted Support and Improvement; Low Performing Student Group (ATSI) that are identified for three or more consecutive years, i.e., ATSI schools that do not meet exit criteria.
  - The fall 2023 identification is the first year that the NJDOE identified schools in this category.

Annually, NJDOE will identify schools in the following federal category:

- Targeted Support and Improvement: Consistently Underperforming Student Group (TSI):
  - All schools with one or more student groups that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row

For purposes of ESSA accountability, only schools and student groups with data for 20 or more students are included in calculations.

For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, how federal accountability status is determined, and additional data, see the NJDOE accountability page

Important Note for 2022-23 Reports: The NJDOE received approval to make a one-time change to the identification timeline under the <u>COVID-19 State Plan Addendum</u>. Under the approved Addendum, the NJDOE identified schools for CSI and ATSI status in both fall 2023 and fall 2023. Schools that were identified for CSI or ATSI status in fall 2023 will be eligible to exit status at the end of the 2023-2024 school year if all exit criteria are met. Schools that were identified for CSI or ATSI status in fall 2023 will be eligible to exit status at the end of the 2026-2027 school year if all exit criteria are met. Schools identified for CSI or ATSI status in fall 2023 will be eligible to exit status at the end of the 2026-2027 school year if all exit criteria are met. Schools identified for CSI or ATSI status in fall 2023 will be eligible to exit status at the end of the 2026-2027 school year if all exit criteria are met.

The NJDOE resumed using median student growth percentiles (mSGPs) to measure academic progress, or growth, for the 2022-2023 school year. The NJDOE had received approval through the Addendum to use an alternate measure of academic progress, Relative School Improvement Measure (RSIM) for the 2021-2022 school year. The NJDOE had received approval through the Addendum to use an alternate measure of academic progress, Relative School Improvement Measure (RSIM) for the 2021-2022 school year only because mSGPs were unavailable.



Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Accountability

### **ESSA Accountability Status**

The table below provides the school's federal school status for the 2024-25 school year, the category (or reason) for identification, the year the school is eligible to exit status, and, if applicable, the student groups identified for support. For additional information, the <u>NJDOE Accountability page</u> includes a list of all schools requiring comprehensive or targeted support and improvement with the amount of School Improvement Aid (SIA) funds received and information on exit criteria for identified schools.

Status for 2024-25 School Year	Not in Status
Category of Identification	n/a
Year Eligible to Exit Status	n/a
Student Group Status: White	
Student Group Status: Hispanic	
Student Group Status: Black or African American	
Student Group Status: Asian, Pacific Islander, Native Hawaiian	
Student Group Status: American Indian or Alaska Native	
Student Group Status: Two or More Races	
Student Group Status: Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Student Group Status: Students with Disabilities	
Student Group Status: English Learners	

†This flag is used if a school is identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement, but one or more student groups met criteria for Additional Targeted Support and Improvement.

### **ESSA Accountability Progress**

The table below shows performance on each of New Jersey's ESSA accountability indicators for the last three years.

ELA and Math Proficiency: Percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on statewide assessments (NJSLA or DLM) ELA and Math Growth: For 2022-23, this data reflects median student growth percentiles (mSGPs). For 2021-22, this data shows the Relative School Improvement Measure (RSIM), which was based on aggregate scale score improvement on the statewide assessments in ELA and mathematics (NJSLA), when comparing prior year performance to 2021-22 performance. Four- and five-year graduation rates: The adjusted cohort graduation rate Progress toward English Language Proficiency: The percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs Assessment for English Language proficiency. Chronic absenteeism: The percentage of students who were absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year.

Important Note for 2022-23: The NJDOE received federal waivers that waived certain assessment and accountabilityrelated requirements for the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years. As a result, proficiency growth, and progress toward English language proficiency data is not available for 2020-21. The growth measure used for 2021-22 was an alternate measure used because median student growth percentiles were not available for 2021-22 and the NJDOE resumed using mSGPs for 2022-2023, so growth data for 2021-22 to 2022-23 should not be compared. As data over the last three years was impacted by the pandemic in various ways, the NJDOE recommends caution in comparing data from year to year.

2021-22	2022-23
80.6%	78.4%
81.9%	79.7%
72	71
98	70
N	Ν
Ν	Ν
67.2%	75.0%
9.2%	13.1%
	9.2% culations for a g



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Accountability

### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Ratings - 2022-23 School Year

New Jersey developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Title I schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or any school with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see the NJDOE Accountability page.

ESSA Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
ELA Proficiency Indicator Score	95.71	15.0%
Math Proficiency Indicator Score	97.80	15.0%
ELA Growth Indicator Score	97.62	20.0%
Math Growth Indicator Score	95.17	20.0%
4-Year Graduation Rate Indicator Score	N	Ν
5-Year Graduation Rate Indicator Score	N	Ν
Progress toward English Language Proficiency Indicator Score	96.04	20.0%
Chronic Absenteeism Indicator Score	56.03	10.0%
Summative Score	92.39	
Summative Rating	97.96	
Comprehensive Support: Overall Low Performing	No	
Comprehensive Support: Low Graduation Rate	No	
† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availablity.		
†↑A modified summative score was calculated using only available data.		



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Accountability

### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2022-23 School Year

The NJDOE is required under ESSA to identify schools for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI). Both TSI and ATSI identifications are based on how student groups within schools perform. Schools are identified for ATSI if they have at least one student group that has a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of Title I schools if it was its own school. Schools are identified for TSI if they have at least one student group that missed annual targets or standards for all indicators for two years in a row. Only student groups that have data for at least 20 students are included in the accountability determinations.

In the table below, the first three columns show information on the identification for ATSI. It shows the summative score for each student group, the cut-off score that was used to identify schools for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI), and whether the school (and student groups) have been identified as requiring ATSI. The remaining columns in the table show whether the student group met annual targets or standards for all indicators for the 2022-23 school year. If at least one student group did not meet all targets and also did not meet all targets in the 2021-22 school year, then the school will be identified as requiring TSI.

For more information about accountability determinations, indicators, and annual targets, see the NJDOE Accountability page.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut-Off for Additional Targeted Support	Requires Additional Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency	Math Proficiency	ELA Growth	Math Growth	4-Year Graduation Rate	5-Year Graduation Rate	Progress toward English Language Proficiency	Chronic Absenteeism	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group
Schoolwide			No	Met Target†	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard		Ν	Ν	Met Goal	Met	No
White	90.17	11.64	No	Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeds Standard		Ν	Ν		Met	No
Hispanic	**	**	No	Met Target	Met Target	**	**	Ν	Ν		Not Met	No
Black or African American	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	Ν	Ν		**	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	92.59	11.64	No	Met Goal	Met Goal	Exceeds Standard	Exceeds Standard	Ν	Ν		Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	Ν	Ν		**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	Ν	Ν		Met	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	**	**	No	**	Ν	**	**	Ν	Ν		Met	No
Students with Disabilities	**	**	No	Met Target	Met Target	**	**	Ν	Ν		Met	No
English Learners	87.65	11.64	No	Met Target	Met Target†	Exceeds Standard	Met Standard	Ν	Ν	Met Goal	Met	No
†Target was met within a c	confidence inter	val.										-



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Narrative

Highlights:	<ul> <li>School No. 1 prides itself in the high quality of our instructional programs and care for social emotional growth of each individual.</li> <li>A Multi-tiered system of supports(MTSS) is utialized through data analysis, driving instruction and student progress, which is tracked with our MTSS/I&amp;RS team.</li> <li>Our student and faculty population is a focus of strength and pride that allows School No. 1's population to see the world through an open and accepting lens, leading to stronger students.</li> </ul>
Clubs and Activities:	School No. 1 focuses on whole student development with programs such as SADD (students against destructive decisions), the Buddy program, Peer Mediators, and Environmental club. Academic and social development is recognized and developed through multiple means and curriculum areas. Our SEL programs provide competencies in self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, responsible decision making and relationship skills. After- School Tutoring programs and commuinty sponsored enrichment programs continue to keep students engaged after the scheduled day ends.
हि	In addition to third party after-school care, School No. 1 offers a winter to spring after-school tutoring program for students in Grades 2 - 4 which focuses on Math and ELA supports. School No. 1's PTA sponsors several afterschool enrichment programs in winter and spring, which include but are not limited to MadScience club, Chess, Sports club, and intro to Korean.
Before and After School Programs:	



Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. Please note that the narrative is optional, so only categories in which the district chose to share information will be displayed. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



School No. 1 has an active PTA and KPAC group that assist in the enrichment of our School No. 1 programs. Activities include but are not limited to BookFairs, School Dances, Movie Nights, and school wide fundrasing for various programs and student enrichment.

Parent and Community Involvement:



#### Report Key: \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

# Narrative

This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. Please note that the narrative is optional, so only categories in which the district chose to share information will be displayed. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact the school or district directly.



In addition to our District's highlights, School No. 1 has integrated the "FISH" philosophy for several years. We choose to come to school with an attitude to make every day fun, filled with learning, positive energy and support for those around us. We maintain open communication with parents, teachers and administration, offering the best possible social, emotional and academic environment. School No. 1 practices daily "Mindful Minutes" where students are given 5-10 minutes of complete quiet for a mindfulness activity. K-4 students are exposed to technology through Chromebook and tablet activities every day. School No. 1 has also created a Makerspace environment within its library. School No. 1 is a place where teachers are respected and our students come first.